

WHAT A DIFFERENCE A MONTH MAKES

With a little more than a week to go before the June 6th California primary, the double digit lead Democratic gubernatorial candidate Steve Westly had over his opponent Phil Angelides in the April Los Angeles Times poll has vanished and now the candidates are in a virtual dead heat, according to a new Times poll. As was mentioned in last month's Times poll analysis, both candidates were blank canvases to be filled in by voters. There was a huge undecided factor and a soft vote for both candidates. The current poll shows that the undecided voters are about half of what they were in April and it appears that the undecideds who have made a decision to vote for a candidate have broken toward Angelides. Westly's vote has not changed from the April poll. The poll also shows that the vote is somewhat more solid than it was last month, although more than two out of five of each candidate's supporters say they could change their mind and vote for someone else.

The April poll showed that the television ads that Westly's campaign aired continually gave the candidate a boost because it gave voters a positive feeling toward Westly. He was able to define himself, rather than have his opponent do it for him. During this time, Angelides was off the air for a few weeks before the earlier poll and that layoff from television hurt him with voters who did not know who he was and what he stood for. Now, before this current poll, television ads have been on constantly by each candidate. But, besides the positive ads from both campaigns, negative ads have littered the airwaves and both candidates' characters are being attacked. Westly's ads blast Angelides for wanting to raise \$10 million in taxes that the ad says hurts the middle class, and another ad that says Angelides is in the pocket of developers. (Angelides has made his money as a developer.) Angelides ads blast Westly for renegeing on his promise of not being the first candidate to go negative. In follow-up interviews, likely voters basically recite what the ads are saying when asked why they are voting for their candidate and against the other (i.e., taxes, went negative first, don't trust him, Feinstein endorsement).

One reason why Angelides is doing better in this poll than in the previous one, may be the benefit of receiving the endorsement of Senators Barbara Boxer and Dianne Feinstein, as well as the State Democratic Party and the Sierra Club (some of these endorsements came after the earlier poll). The endorsements from both senators can only help a gubernatorial candidate as both Boxer and Feinstein are popular elected officials.

On other issues: Most of the contested down ballot races are still up in the air as most voters don't know who these candidates are, with the exception of the Democratic primary race for attorney general and insurance commissioner. Former governor of California and mayor of Oakland Jerry Brown is running against Los Angeles City Attorney Rocky Delgadillo for attorney general and Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante is running against Healthcare Consultant John Kraft. Both Brown and Bustamante are beating their opponents decisively.

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger's job approval ratings have not changed from last month's poll results.

Since last month, roughly the same share of voters -- about a third -- would vote for the governor in November, and nearly half would *not* vote for him. Nearly a fifth of voters are still considering their choices. Looking toward November, Westly is still ahead of Schwarzenegger as he was in the April poll

and Angelides and Schwarzenegger remain tied. There will also be four infrastructure bond measures on the ballot that were endorsed by both the governor and the Democratic legislature, but at this point, these measures would not help the governor win any more support from the voters. In fact, four out of five voters say Schwarzenegger's endorsement of the bond measures would not make them vote for the governor in November.

Democratic Gubernatorial Primary

Among Democratic likely primary voters*, 37% support Angelides, while 34% support Westly (well within the poll's margin of error). Almost three in 10 (28%) are still undecided. There is no clear cut winner in this election and the race has become very competitive. This is a huge swing from the April poll when Westly received 33% of Democratic likely primary voter support and Angelides received 20%. Then, nearly half (45%) were undecided. This poll shows that the race's momentum has moved toward the Angelides column. Angelides picked up 17 points from last month (all from the undecideds), while voter support for Westly essentially remained unchanged. Not surprisingly, this poll shows Angelides picking up support among different demographic groups that all went to Westly in last month's survey.

*Democratic and Republican likely primary voters include Independents who choose to vote in either primary.

Demographics: The Democratic governor's race, as most races do, hinges on turnout. Both candidates agree on more issues than they disagree, but it is the way they have positioned themselves that may make the difference. To illustrate this, more than half of Democratic primary voters (52%) describe themselves as liberals, compared to 46% who define themselves as moderates or conservatives. Angelides has appealed to liberal voters by calling for higher taxes on corporations and the wealthy, while Westly has reached out to moderates saying that taxes should be a last resort. The liberal Democratic primary voters are supporting Angelides over Westly by 13 points (46% to 33%), while the moderate and conservative Democratic primary voters are supporting Westly over Angelides by 8 points (36% to 28%). If more liberal Democrats turn out to vote, then Angelides would have the bigger advantage.

Angelides has the endorsements of many unions in the state and his support has grown sharply in this group. More than half (52%) of union members likely to vote in the Democratic primary are voting for Angelides, while 18% say they will vote for Westly. In last month's poll, union members were supporting Westly over Angelides by nine points. There is also a gender swing. In last month's poll, Westly received large support with both men (up 14 points over his opponent) and women (up by 12 points). Now, men are dividing their vote (39% for Angelides and 40% for Westly), compared to women who give Angelides a small 6 point lead over Westly (albeit within the poll's margin of error).

Slightly more than two-fifths of higher educated voters are leaning toward Angelides (41% to 37% for Westly), while the same share of voters as in the April poll -- a third -- support Westly. Last month, 17% of higher educated voters backed Angelides. The elderly (65 and over) who fully supported Westly in April, are now leaning toward Westly (39% to 30% for Angelides). The 18-44 year old voters are giving Angelides a 7 point advantage, while in April, Westly received this group's support by a 12 point lead. More than two-fifths of voters living in Southern California support Angelides, while almost the same share of voters in Northern California support Westly. The wealthier Democratic primary voters back Angelides, while the less affluent voters (less than \$40,000 household income) support Westly.

When asked why they supported their candidate, Angelides voters mentioned his stand on education and issues in general, plus he is the best choice for governor. For Westly voters, they cited his stand on education and the environment and he is the best candidate.

Best handle California's issues: In April's poll, Westly was the clear winner on most of the issues tested, but this poll shows Angelides catching up to his opponent. Angelides tops Westly on who would be best on handling education, while Westly is the clear winner on handling the state's budget. Interestingly, although this is a tight race, more Democratic primary voters believe somewhat that Westly is the candidate to beat the governor than said they would vote for him against his opponent in June.

	NOW		APRIL	
	Angelides %	Westly %	Angelides %	Westly %
Handle education	44	36	18	31
Handle economy	34	40	21	32
Handle environmental issues	34	37	17	31
Handle state's budget	31	43	20	31
Beat Schwarzenegger	31	38	18	37

Angelides has made a big issue of Westly breaking his campaign promise of not being the first one to run a negative ad campaign and Westly has made a big issue of Angelides being in the pocket of developers. Both candidates are lobbing character attacks at each other, trying to get voters to look at their opponents as untrustworthy. So far, this hasn't seemed to hurt either candidate. At least three-fifths each of Democratic likely voters believe honesty and trustworthiness describes each candidate.

Impressions: Both Democratic candidates have favorable impressions among the Democratic primary voters. Sixty-two percent of Democratic primary voters have a favorable impression of Angelides, 18% unfavorable and 20% have no opinion. For Westly, 65% of Democratic primary voters have a positive opinion, while 13% have an unfavorable one and 22% have no opinion. It is interesting to note that more Westly voters have an unfavorable opinion of Angelides (36%) than Angelides voters have of Westly (21%). About a fifth of both candidates' supporters haven't heard enough about them to have an opinion.

Other Statewide Races

In an election that is marked by low voter interest, and with less than two weeks to go, many voters had yet to choose which candidate to back as their party nominee for the offices of lieutenant governor, secretary of state, controller, insurance commissioner, and treasurer.

Nominee for Attorney General

The highest profile "down ballot" primary race is the mismatch for Democrat attorney general nominee between former California Governor and current Oakland Mayor Jerry Brown and relative statewide unknown L.A. city attorney Rocky Delgadillo. Brown continued to run well ahead among those likely to vote in the Democratic primary –garnering 60% to Delgadillo's 27%. Thirteen percent remained unsure. A month ago Brown had 56% of the vote, Delgadillo 23% and 19% weren't sure.

Delgadillo has been running TV ads attacking Brown for his stance on abortion rights and his record on crime. However, the survey found that the ads haven't raised the L.A. city attorney's name recognition to any great degree, as he remained an unknown to about two thirds of likely voters. Another 30% viewed him favorably and 6% unfavorably. One month ago, seven in 10 hadn't heard of Delgadillo, and all of the movement has been in a positive direction – his favorable rating rose six points while his unfavorable has stayed static. More than eight in 10 likely Democratic primary voters were able to express an opinion of the well known Brown – 67% had a favorable one, compared to 16% unfavorable.

If nominated, as seems likely, Brown will run against state senator Chuck Poochigian (R-Fresno) in November. Poochigian also has an uphill battle to gain name recognition in the state, since 94% of registered voters in this survey didn't know enough about him to venture an opinion.

Nominee for Lieutenant Governor

Not much has changed over the last month in the Democratic primary for the second highest state office. A 43% plurality of voters had yet to make up their minds, indicating the race could still be up for grabs. However, State Insurance Commissioner John Garamendi continued to garner about twice as many votes as his closest challenger with 30% of the vote compared to 16% for Jackie Speier (D-Hillsborough) and 11% for Liz Figueroa (D-Fremont.) Speier and Figueroa both launched television ad campaigns this week, toward the end of the survey period.

Whichever Democrat prevails in the June 6th primary will face Republican state senator Tom McClintock (R-Thousand Oaks) in the general election next fall. McClintock is running unopposed for his party's nomination.

Nominee for Insurance Commissioner

In the Democratic race for Insurance Commissioner, health care consultant John Kraft continued to trail two-term California Lieutenant Governor Cruz Bustamante— garnering only 20% of the vote compared to Bustamante's 59%, while 21% remained unsure. The survey did detect a slight upward swing in Kraft's numbers over the last month – within margin – and not nearly enough to change the overall result. In April it was Bustamante 59% to Kraft's 16% with 24% undecided.

Bustamante seems likely to face Republican Steve Poizner, a Silicon Valley businessman who is running unopposed for his party's nomination in the November election.

Other Races

- **Democrat Nominee for Secretary of State** -- A majority of almost six in 10 likely Democratic primary voters weren't sure who to pick in this race and the rest are now almost evenly divided, making the outcome of this primary election anyone's guess. The survey showed State Senators Debra Bowen (D-Marina del Rey) catching Deborah Ortiz (D-Sacramento) so that the two are now running neck and neck with 21% each and 58% undecided. Last month Ortiz led Bowen by nine points - 22% to 13% - with 65% undecided. GOP incumbent Bruce McPherson is running unopposed for the Republican party nomination.
- **Republican Nominee for Controller** – More than half of likely Republican primary voters weren't sure who to vote for in this race, and rest were split 21% to 23% between Abel Maldonado, a business controller and auditor, and Taxpayer Organization President Tony Strickland. Last month Strickland led Maldonado 18% to 11% with 70% not sure.
- **Democrat Nominee for Controller** -- Similarly, more than half of likely Democratic voters weren't sure who to vote for, while the rest split 20% to 25% between State Senator Joe Dunn (D – Santa Ana) and Board of Equalization member John Chiang. Last month, it was 17% Dunn, 14% Chiang and about seven in 10 undecided. Dunn has been airing ads portraying himself as a crusader against Enron.
- **Republican Nominee for Treasurer** – Again, more than half of likely Republican primary voters haven't made up their minds whether to vote for Assembly member Keith Richman, (R- Northridge) or Claude Parrish, a member of the State Board of Equalization. The rest split 25% for Richman and 21% for Parrish. Last month, it was Richman 20%, Parrish 13%, with two-thirds undecided. The GOP nominee will face a well funded and familiar Democratic opponent in November's general election – Attorney General Bill Lockyer is running unopposed for his party's nomination.

Ballot Propositions

There are two initiatives on the ballot. Any registered voter may vote on the propositions whether they vote in a party primary or not, so survey questions were asked among all likely voters.

Proposition 81: The California Reading and Literacy Improvement and Public Library Construction and Renovation Bond Act of 2006.

This proposition is a \$600 million bond measure which would construct and renovate libraries in order to increase access for the general public as well as to allow the expansion of reading and literacy programs in California's public school system.

More than half (55%) of those likely to vote for the ballot propositions had not heard enough about the initiative to venture an opinion, and the rest broke 28% to 17% in favor. Once respondents were read the ballot description, listing what the proposition intends to accomplish as well as the costs, 55% said they would vote for it, 39% against, and 6% weren't sure.

Table: More than half of likely voters who had no opinion decided to vote yes after being read the ballot description.

Before hearing ballot description	Yes		
	No	D/K	
After hearing ballot description			
Yes	92	7	50
No	4	93	41
Don't Know	4	0	9

After hearing the ballot description, Democrats favored the measure by 70% to 25%, independents by 52% to 43%, and Republicans were opposed 55% to 40%.

Proposition 82: the Preschool Education, Tax on Incomes Over \$400,000 for Individuals and \$800,000 for Couples Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute

Also on the ballot is Proposition 82, which would tax Californians who earn yearly incomes of over \$400,000 (\$800,000 for couples) to fund a free public half-day preschool program, taught by accredited teachers. Pre-school attendance would be voluntary for four-year-olds, but available to all California residents.

At this point close to the election, and after a blitz of television ads funded by both sides, almost two-thirds were familiar with the proposition. Without hearing the description, likely voters split 31% for to 34% against with 35% not sure. Once read the ballot description, 51% said they would vote for it and 43% against while only 6% remained unsure.

Table: Half of likely voters who had no opinion decided to vote yes after being read the ballot description.

Before hearing ballot description	Yes		
	No	D/K	
After hearing ballot description			
Yes	93	8	53
No	2	91	35
Don't Know	5	1	12

Democratic likely voters favored the initiative by 64% to 30%, independents by 55% to 44%, but Republicans opposed it by nearly two to one – 60% to 33%.

Governor Schwarzenegger does not endorse this initiative, saying he opposes any new taxes. Phil Angelides and Steve Westly, the top contenders for the Democratic nomination for governor, have endorsed it.

Democratic primary voters in general (including independents who plan to vote in the Democratic primary) were much more supportive of both of the initiatives than were those likely to vote in the Republican primary, and since there may be more of an incentive for Democratic primary voters to turn out to cast a ballot for their candidate for Governor on June 6th, that could push both of the propositions over the edge. Around two-thirds of Democrat primary voters indicated support in each case, compared to Republican primary voters who broke 39% to 56% against on Prop 81 and 32% to 61% against on Prop 82.

Female likely voters supported both initiatives much more strongly than men in both cases – 59% of women compared to 50% of men would vote yes on Prop 81. Fifty-four percent of women would vote yes on Prop 82 and 40% no, while men split 47% for and against.

Schwarzenegger Job Ratings

Governor Schwarzenegger’s standing among the state’s registered voters continues to be more negative than positive – just over half disapproved compared to 44% who approved of his overall job, which is almost identical to how voters rated him in the Times Poll last month.

Table: Schwarzenegger job approval rating among registered voters compared to previous Times Poll surveys: “Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor?”

	05/06	04/06	10/05	10/04	9/04	4/04	2/04
Approve Strongly	13	14	19	33	29	35	31
Approve Some	30	30	18	33	37	34	34
Approve (net)	(44)	(44)	(37)	(66)	(66)	(69)	(65)
Disapprove Some	24	25	19	13	14	11	9
Disapprove Strongly	27	28	40	12	12	11	10
Disapprove (net)	(51)	(53)	(59)	(25)	(26)	(22)	(19)
Don’t Know	5	3	4	9	7	9	16

Schwarzenegger’s ratings remained above the low of 59% disapproval and 37% approval found last October, just before the special election. At that time, almost half said they disapproved of the governor even calling the election, in which Schwarzenegger attempted to get around opposition to his agenda among the Democrat-controlled state legislature by taking his proposals to the voters.

Since that time, the governor has been working to move toward the center and to erase the lingering effect of teacher-funded ads painting him as anti-education as part of the union’s campaign to oppose the governor’s proposals last year. In this survey, only 38% of registered voters gave Schwarzenegger positive marks for handling public education while 53% rated him negatively. The governor’s May budget revision includes increased funding for issues such as education and health and human services which appeal more to Democrats and independents and carefully avoids new taxes or tax increases which alienate his conservative base. He proposes funding the spending increases through revenue flow – the state is expected to reap about \$5 billion more in personal income taxes than was first expected.

The survey found evidence that the governor may be getting through to at least some voters. His overall approval among independents has risen from 29% to 40% and among moderates there was a slight uptick from 39% to 42%. In California, about one in five voters declines to state a party when they register. In past LA Times general election state exit polls, independents have accounted for fewer than one in seven

voters, but those numbers may be increasing. About three in 10 registered voters consider themselves moderates in this survey, which is similar to the proportion of moderate voters in past exit polls.

Schwarzenegger's job approval among Republicans and conservatives slipped slightly over the last month –from 76% to 70% in the former case and from 64% to 59% in the latter. It also dropped more dramatically among members of minor parties and didn't change much among Democrats. Latinos continued to express disapproval of the job the governor is doing by 67% to 32%, compared to whites who approved 49% to 45%.

Infrastructure Bond Measures

Schwarzenegger has agreed to work with the legislature to put four infrastructure bond measures totaling about \$37 billion on next November's general election ballot. These bond measures are less popular with his Republican base than with other voters in the state. When asked about their general support for the four measures, Democrats and independents indicated support while Republicans were split.

Table: "As you may know, voters will be asked to approve four bond measures in November totaling about thirty-seven billion dollars, that are part of a public works package to repair and expand roadways, enhance public transit, build schools and affordable housing, shore up levees, and make ports more secure and less polluted, among other things. Over the next two decades, the state would have to pay as much as two and a half billion dollars annually toward the debt. Based on what you know or just heard, in general, would you support or oppose these four bond measures?"

	Regis	Dem	Ind	Rep
Support	55	62	61	44
Oppose	33	25	29	46
Don't know	12	13	10	10

Immigration

On Wednesday, while this survey was underway, Schwarzenegger announced that he was prepared to heed President Bush's call to deploy National Guard troops along the U.S. border with Mexico in an effort to stem the flow of illegal immigrants. This was the most straightforward statement of support by the governor so far for committing California Guard troops. Immediately following Bush's announcement of the proposal in Utah last week, Schwarzenegger had indicated he would be open to cooperating with such a deployment, on a temporary basis, but also called it a "logistical nightmare" and expressed frustration that he was unable to get the Bush Administration to answer questions on details for how the proposal might work.

The governor's approval among registered voters on the subject of immigration rose over the past month from 28% to 37% while his disapproval on the subject slipped slightly from 49% to 44%. Voters could be responding positively to Schwarzenegger's attention to the subject, which by far topped the list of most important problems facing the state in last month's Times Poll. Similarly, a Public Policy Institute of California survey released this week found immigration slightly exceeding education as the issue likely voters would most like to hear the candidates talk about – one in four likely voters in that survey mentioned immigration, two percentage points more than the proportion who mentioned education.

Democrats (30% to 54%), independents (30% to 42%) and liberals (33% to 48%) tended to disapprove of the governor's handling of immigration while moderates (39% to 41%) and conservatives (41% to 43%) were split. Republicans approved 52% to 33%. Latinos were particularly unhappy with Schwarzenegger on this subject, by 58% to 31% while whites were split.

On other measures, Schwarzenegger's ratings have remained fairly static over the last month.

Table: Schwarzenegger job approval ratings among registered voters on various measures, compared to previous LA Times Poll, April 2006: "Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling..."

	Now		April	
	Apprv	Disappv	Apprv	Disappv
The economy	44	48	45	47
Public schools	38	53	34	55
The environment	44	35	41	36

November Election

In last month's poll, Schwarzenegger was moving in a positive direction from when he was at his lowest during last year's special election (all eight initiatives failed, including four that the governor personally campaigned for). This poll, however, does not show any overall movement by registered voters since last month. A question was asked of all registered voters about their feelings about reelecting Schwarzenegger in November (not matched to an opponent). Last month 31% of voters said they would vote definitely or probably vote for the governor in November, while 48% said they would probably or definitely not vote for him. About a fifth were still considering their options. In the current poll, 32% would vote for him, 47% would not and about a fifth are considering their choices. Nearly half of moderates and more than two-fifths of independents would not vote for the governor. Men, a stalwart group for the governor said they would not vote to reelect Schwarzenegger (45%), while half of women voters say that as well. Almost two-thirds of Latinos and a small plurality of whites would not vote to reelect the governor. The governor has kept a majority of his base from leaving his side, that is, Republicans and conservative Republicans. At least three out of five each of Republicans (61%) and conservative Republicans (64%) would vote to give Schwarzenegger another term in office. But he still has work to do in wooing moderate Republicans to his side. More than two out of five moderate Republicans either would not vote for the governor (21%) or say they are still considering their choices (22%).

Half of voters believe that Schwarzenegger has shown decisive leadership while serving as governor, while 44% don't believe he has shown that trait. However, among the voters who believe he has this character trait, 53% would vote to reelect the governor, but 25% would not and 21% say they are still considering their choices. Among those who say he is not a decisive leader, 74% would not vote for him, while 10% would and 15% are mulling over their choices.

Westly v. Schwarzenegger : If the November election was held today, Westly would capture 50% of the vote, while Schwarzenegger would receive 40%. In April Westly led by 48% to 39%. Independents were strongly supporting Westly in April (57% to 29%), but now are leaning toward the Democrat (47% to 40%). Nearly half of men in April gave Schwarzenegger their support, now they are divided over who they would choose. Women are still strongly in support of Westly. The highly educated voter gave their full support to Westly in April, but in this polls, 42% are backing the incumbent and 49% are supporting Westly. Last month, the elderly favored Schwarzenegger by eight points over Westly. Today, they are virtually split.

Angelides v. Schwarzenegger: Angelides and Schwarzenegger are virtually tied (46% for Angelides v. 45% for Schwarzenegger) if the November election were held today. They also were tied in April (43% each). Independents in this hypothetical match-up changed direction as they did in the Westly v. Schwarzenegger match-up. In April they supported Angelides over the incumbent by 43% to 37%. In this poll independents back the governor 47% to 41% for Angelides. Moderates moved from supporting Angelides (45% to 38%) last month to splitting their vote in the current poll.

Schwarzenegger's effort to move his governorship toward the center seems to be helping him with independents.

Impressions: Although Democratic likely primary voters know and like the two Democratic candidates running for governor, it cannot be said of all registered voters. A third of voters have a favorable opinion of Angelides, 26% have an unfavorable one, but 40% have no opinion. Forty percent of voters have a positive opinion about Westly, 17% have an unfavorable one and 43% have no opinion.

For the governor, however, just 4% don't have an opinion. Nearly half of voters have a positive impression of Schwarzenegger, while almost the same share of voters, 47%, have an unfavorable opinion. The governor has a harder mountain to climb as most every voter knows who he is and many of their opinions are already formed, while the two Democratic candidates seem to be in better position of moving voters toward a positive opinion of them since so many don't know who they are.

U.S. Senate Race

Senator Dianne Feinstein is well-liked in the state and there would have to be something catastrophic for her not to win. She is one of the state's most liked elected officials. To get her endorsement is like getting a Good Housekeeping seal of approval. The Republican Party had a hard time finding someone to run against her. The first Republican candidate (multimillionaire Bill Mundell) dropped out of the race. Now, Richard Mountjoy, (former State Senator from Monrovia) is the opposing candidate. Most voters don't know who he is. Even 88% of registered Republican voters have no opinion of him. He also has very little money to get his campaign on the airwaves. Feinstein has not been on the airwaves either, but she is on many of the down ballot race ads because she has endorsed a few of the candidates running (i.e., Angelides, Jackie Speier for Lt. Gov.) Among all registered voters, 55% have a favorable opinion, while 34% have an unfavorable one. Just one in 10 have no opinion. Four out of five Democratic voters have a positive opinion of the Senator, as do 53% of independents, 75% of liberals, 62% of moderates, men (50%) and women (58%), whites (50%) and Latinos (61%).

Feinstein v. Mountjoy: If the November election were held today, Feinstein would win in a landslide. Nearly three out of five voters (59%) would support the incumbent Senator, compared to 30% who would back the Republican. Virtually all Democrats, independents (60%), liberals (88%) and 65% of moderates would support Feinstein. Even 21% of Republicans, 31% of conservatives and 35% of moderate Republicans would also support the Democratic senator. Interestingly southern California (except Los Angeles county), which includes Orange and San Diego counties, (large Republican counties) split their vote – 44% for Feinstein and 42% for Mountjoy.

Analysis by Susan H. Pinkus and Jill Darling Richardson

Results from the Times Poll
California Poll (May 20-25, 2006)

Guide to Column Headings

Among Democratic Primary Likely Voters:

DLV All Democratic primary likely voters (Including independents who choose to vote in Democratic primary)
LIB Liberals
M/C Moderate conservatives
ANG Angelides voters
WES Westly voters
MEN Men
WOM Women

Among Republican Primary Likely Voters:

RLV All Republican primary likely voters (Including independents who choose to vote in Republican primary)
L/M Liberal moderates
CON Conservatives
MEN Men
WOM Women

Among All Likely Voters:

LV All likely voters
DEM Democrats
IND Independents
REP Republicans
LIB Liberals
MOD Moderates
CON Conservatives
MEN Men
WOM Women

Among registered voters:

REG All registered voters
DEM Democrats
IND Independents
REP Republicans
LIB Liberals
MOD Moderates
CON Conservatives
WHT Whites
LAT Latinos

Note

-(Vol.) indicates a voluntarily response
- ‘-‘ indicates less than 0.5%

Questions are not in numerical order

DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY VOTERS

Q1. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or are they seriously off on the wrong track?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Right direction	22	21	24	23	25	29	18
Wrong track	69	70	68	69	69	64	71
Don't know	9	9	8	8	6	7	11

Q5. If the Democratic primary election for governor were being held today and the candidates were State Controller Steve Westly and State Treasurer Phil Angelides, for whom would you vote, Westly, or Angelides, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Angelides	37	46	28	100	-	39	36
Westly	34	33	36	-	100	40	30
Someone else	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Don't know	28	21	36	-	-	21	33

ASKED OF VOTERS WHO MENTIONED A CANDIDATE

Q6. Are you certain you're going to vote for that candidate, or is it possible that you might end up voting for somebody else?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Certain	57	54	60	54	59	51	61
Might vote for someone else	41	46	35	42	40	45	38
Don't know	2	-	5	4	1	4	1

Q7. Why would you vote for (NAME OF CANDIDATE MENTIONED)? Is there another reason? (UP TO TWO REPLIES ACCEPTED) (TOP FIVE RESPONSES ARE SHOWN)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
No particular reason/just like candidate	17	14	21	16	18	19	15
Education	15	22	5	13	18	10	20
Best choice of the candidates running	12	9	16	11	12	16	8
Issues in general	10	16	2	12	7	11	9
Honest/trustworthy	9	12	5	8	10	7	11

DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY VOTERS

Regardless of which candidate for governor you happen to prefer right now, which, if any, do you think would do the best job handling the following issues:

(ORDER OF NEXT FOUR QUESTIONS AND CANDIDATE NAMES ARE ROTATED)

Q8. Who do you think would do the best job of handling public education: Steve Westly or Phil Angelides?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Phil Angelides	44	49	37	80	15	46	42
Steve Westly	36	36	37	11	76	41	33
Both/no difference (vol)	4	3	6	3	4	3	6
None (vol)	2	1	3	1	1	1	2
Don't know	14	11	17	5	4	9	17

Q9. Who do you think would do the best job of handling the economy: Steve Westly or Phil Angelides?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Phil Angelides	34	37	32	75	5	37	33
Steve Westly	40	37	42	9	84	48	34
Both/no difference (vol)	5	3	6	3	5	3	6
Don't know	21	23	20	13	6	12	27

Q10. Who do you think would do the best job of handling the state's budget: Steve Westly or Phil Angelides ?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Phil Angelides	31	34	29	66	5	34	30
Steve Westly	43	39	46	16	82	52	36
Both/no difference (vol)	3	3	4	1	4	2	4
None (vol)	1	0	2	0	2	1	1
Don't know	22	24	19	17	7	11	29

Q11. Who do you think would do the best job of handling the state's environmental issues: Steve Westly or Phil Angelides?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Phil Angelides	34	36	32	64	12	38	31
Steve Westly	37	38	35	9	75	35	38
Both/no difference (vol)	4	4	3	3	3	3	4
None (vol)	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
Don't know	24	21	29	22	9	23	26

(ORDER OF CANDIDATE NAMES IS ROTATED)

Q12. Regardless of your choice for governor, which candidate do you think would have the best chance of beating Arnold Schwarzenegger in the November election: Steve Westly or Phil Angelides ?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Phil Angelides	31	32	30	60	10	34	30
Steve Westly	38	33	43	18	74	47	31
Both/no difference (vol)	11	11	11	8	8	7	13
None (vol)	1	1	1	1	1	1	-
Don't know	19	23	15	13	7	11	26

Q12A. Would you describe Steve Westly as honest or trustworthy, or not?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Honest or trustworthy	61	67	54	64	86	68	57
Not honest or trustworthy	11	10	11	17	4	10	11
Don't know	28	23	35	19	10	22	32

Q12B. Would you describe Phil Angelides as honest or trustworthy, or not?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Honest or trustworthy	60	69	54	82	55	59	61
Not honest or trustworthy	13	11	13	6	24	19	9
Don't know	27	20	33	12	21	22	30

Q25. Lots of people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? So far this year, how interested have you been in following political campaigns, such as the race for governor, other state races, and initiatives? Are you very interested, somewhat interested, somewhat uninterested or very uninterested in following those political campaigns this year?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Interested (net)	98	99	97	99	99	99	98
Very interested	29	27	30	32	26	36	24
Somewhat interested	69	72	67	67	73	63	73
Uninterested (net)	2	1	3	1	1	1	2
Somewhat uninterested	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Very uninterested	1	-	2	-	-	-	2

Q38. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Phil Angelides? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Favorable (net)	62	68	56	96	42	66	59
Very favorable	18	22	14	45	2	13	22
Somewhat favorable	44	46	42	51	40	53	37
Unfavorable (net)	18	19	17	2	36	18	18
Somewhat unfavorable	12	12	12	2	19	11	13
Very unfavorable	6	7	5	-	17	7	5
Haven't heard enough	16	10	22	2	21	12	19
Don't know (net)	4	3	5	-	1	4	4

Q39. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Steve Westly? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>65</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>60</u>
Very favorable	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>49</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>46</u>
Unfavorable (net)	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>21</u>	–	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>17</u>	–	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	–	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>

Q40. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Rocky Delgadillo? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>28</u>
Very favorable	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>6</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>22</u>
Unfavorable (net)	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>62</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>65</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	–	<u>3</u>

Q41. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Jerry Brown? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>67</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>69</u>
Very favorable	<u>31</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>36</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>33</u>
Unfavorable (net)	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>14</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>15</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>15</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>2</u>	–	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	–	–	<u>2</u>

(ORDER OF CANDIDATE NAMES IS ROTATED)

Q13. If the Democratic primary election for lieutenant governor were being held today and the candidates were State Senator Liz Figueroa, State Senator Jackie Speier and State Insurance Commissioner John Garamendi, for whom would you vote, Figueroa, or Speier, or Garamendi, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Figueroa	11	4	18	11	13	9	13
Garamendi	30	33	27	35	31	40	23
Speier	16	20	13	13	21	13	18
Don't know	43	43	42	41	35	38	46

(ORDER OF CANDIDATE NAMES IS ROTATED)

Q14. If the Democratic primary election for secretary of state were being held today and the candidates were State Senator Debra Bowen and State Senator Deborah V. Ortiz, for whom would you vote, Bowen, or Ortiz, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Bowen	21	14	28	13	25	21	20
Ortiz	21	24	17	23	30	26	17
Don't know	58	62	55	64	45	53	63

(ORDER OF CANDIDATE NAMES FOR THE NEXT FIVE QUESTIONS IS ROTATED)

Q15. If the Democratic primary election for controller were being held today and the candidates were State Senator Joe Dunn and Board of Equalization member John Chiang, for whom would you vote, Dunn, or Chiang, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Chiang	20	18	21	15	26	22	18
Dunn	25	23	29	26	32	21	28
Don't know	55	59	50	59	42	57	54

Q16. If the Democratic primary election for attorney general were being held today and the candidates were Prosecutor and City Attorney Rocky Delgadillo and Oakland mayor and attorney Jerry Brown, for whom would you vote, Delgadillo, or Brown, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Brown	60	65	54	64	65	58	62
Delgadillo	27	26	28	18	30	25	28
Don't know	13	9	18	18	5	17	10

Q17. If the Democratic primary election for insurance commissioner were being held today and the candidates were Insurance Healthcare Consultant John Kraft and Lieutenant Governor Cruz Bustamante, for whom would you vote, Kraft, or Bustamante, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Democratic primary likely voters						
	<u>DLV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>M/C</u>	<u>ANG</u>	<u>WES</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Bustamante	59	62	55	66	54	66	54
Kraft	20	17	23	13	32	23	17
Someone else (vol)	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Don't know	21	21	22	21	13	11	29

REPUBLICAN PRIMARY VOTERS

Q18. If the Republican primary election for controller were being held today and the candidates were Business Controller and Auditor Abel Maldonado and Taxpayer Organization President Tony Strickland, for whom would you vote, Maldonado, or Strickland, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Republican primary likely voters				
	<u>RLV</u>	<u>L/M</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Maldonado	21	23	22	26	14
Strickland	23	13	24	26	17
Someone else (vol)	-	1	-	1	-
Don't know	56	63	54	47	69

Q19. If the Republican primary election for treasurer were being held today and the candidates were Business Owner and Assembly member Keith Richman and State Board of Equalization Member Claude Parrish, for whom would you vote, Richman, or Parrish, or would you vote for someone else? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Republican primary likely voters				
	<u>RLV</u>	<u>L/M</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Parrish	21	26	18	21	19
Richman	25	21	26	29	19
Someone else (vol)	-	1	1	1	-
Don't know	54	52	55	49	62

Q42. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Chuck Poochigian? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Republican primary likely voters				
	<u>RLV</u>	<u>L/M</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Favorable (net)	9	7	9	8	10
Very favorable	2	1	2	1	5
Somewhat favorable	7	6	7	7	5
Haven't heard enough	89	92	89	90	89
Don't know (net)	2	1	2	2	1

ALL LIKELY VOTERS

As you may know, there are a couple of statewide initiatives on the ballot on June 6th.

Q20. One of them is Proposition 81. Have you heard, read or seen anything about Proposition 81, which would provide funding for construction and renovation of the state's public libraries? (IF YES) From what you know, if the June 2006 primary election were being held today, would you be inclined to vote for or against this bond measure or don't you know enough about it yet to say? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	All likely voters								
	<u>LV</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Vote for	28	32	27	22	34	24	24	29	27
Vote against	17	10	11	24	8	16	24	22	11
Don't know	55	58	62	54	58	60	52	49	62

Q21. As you may know, Proposition 81 is the California Reading and Literacy Improvement and Public Library Construction and Renovation Bond Act of 2006. It provides for a bond issue in an amount not to exceed a total of six hundred million dollars to provide funds for the construction and renovation of public library facilities in order to expand access to reading and literacy programs in California's public education system, and to expand access to public library services for all residents of California. The fiscal impact would be a state cost of about 1.2 billion dollars over 30 years to pay off both the principal and interest costs of the bonds. There would be a one-time local cost, statewide, of about three- hundred-twenty million dollars for local matching contributions. Having heard more, if the June 2006 primary election were being held today, would you vote for or against this bond measure? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	All likely voters								
	<u>LV</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Vote for	55	70	52	40	73	46	45	50	59
Vote against	39	25	43	55	22	45	49	43	35
Don't know	6	5	5	5	5	9	6	7	6

Q22. The other one is Proposition 82. Have you heard, read or seen anything about Proposition 82, an initiative that would tax Californians with yearly incomes of over four hundred thousand dollars to fund voluntary public preschool for four-year olds? (IF YES) From what you know, if the June 2006 primary election were being held today, would you be inclined to vote for or against this initiative or don't you know enough about it yet to say? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	All likely voters								
	<u>LV</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Vote for	31	41	39	19	43	32	21	30	33
Vote against	34	26	26	45	22	33	45	37	31
Don't know	35	33	35	36	35	35	34	33	36

Q23. As you may know, Proposition 82 is the Preschool Education, Tax on Incomes Over \$400,000 for Individuals and \$800,000 for Couples Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute. It establishes voluntary preschool education for all four-year olds. It is funded by a 1.7 percent tax on individual income over four hundred thousand dollars, and couples income of eight hundred thousand dollars. The fiscal impact would be to increase annual revenues by \$2.1 billion dollars in 2007 and 2008 and would grow with the economy in future years. All revenues would be spent on the new preschool program. Having heard more, if the June 2006 primary election were being held today, would you vote for or against this initiative?
(INCLUDES LEANERS)

	All likely voters								
	<u>LV</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>MEN</u>	<u>WOM</u>
Vote for	51	64	55	33	71	50	34	47	54
Vote against	43	30	44	60	24	42	62	47	40
Don't know	6	6	1	7	5	8	4	6	6

ALL REGISTERED VOTERS

Q1. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or are they seriously off on the wrong track?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Right direction	34	27	35	42	29	31	39	36	29
Wrong track	55	63	57	45	57	57	53	51	61
Don't know	11	10	8	13	14	12	8	13	10

Q24. As you may know, Arnold Schwarzenegger is running for reelection as the Republican candidate for governor of California. Will you definitely vote for Schwarzenegger for governor in the general election in November, or will you probably vote for him, or will you probably not vote for him, or will you definitely not vote for him, or are you still considering your choices?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Vote for him (net)	32	11	26	61	13	28	52	38	24
Definitely vote for him	18	5	9	40	4	10	35	21	14
Probably vote for him	14	6	16	21	9	18	17	16	10
Not vote for him (net)	47	74	42	17	73	47	25	42	63
Probably not vote for him	11	12	14	7	16	10	8	11	12
Definitely not vote for him	36	62	29	10	57	38	17	32	51
Still considering choices	19	14	29	20	14	21	22	18	13
Don't know (net)	2	1	3	2	-	4	2	2	-

Q31. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Approve (net)	<u>44</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>32</u>
Approve strongly	13	6	8	26	7	10	22	17	11
Approve somewhat	30	19	32	44	22	32	37	32	21
Disapprove (net)	<u>51</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>67</u>
Disapprove somewhat	24	26	30	18	28	21	23	23	26
Disapprove strongly	27	45	21	8	39	30	14	22	41
Don't know (net)	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>

(ORDER OF NEXT FOUR QUESTIONS IS ROTATED)

Q32. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling the state's economy? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Approve (net)	<u>44</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>28</u>
Approve strongly	16	6	12	32	7	13	27	21	10
Approve somewhat	27	20	27	38	21	28	32	30	18
Disapprove (net)	<u>48</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>68</u>
Disapprove somewhat	20	24	20	14	24	20	16	18	21
Disapprove strongly	29	43	31	9	42	28	20	23	47
Don't know (net)	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>

Q33. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling public school education in California? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Approve (net)	<u>38</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>30</u>
Approve strongly	13	4	9	28	6	11	21	16	8
Approve somewhat	24	16	25	33	14	29	29	23	22
Disapprove (net)	<u>53</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>66</u>
Disapprove somewhat	19	19	19	16	24	16	17	19	21
Disapprove strongly	35	54	33	15	50	35	21	30	45
Don't know (net)	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>4</u>

Q34. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling the state's environmental issues? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Approve (net)	<u>44</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>36</u>
Approve strongly	<u>13</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>30</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>28</u>
Disapprove (net)	<u>35</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>49</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>17</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>23</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>19</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>26</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>21</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>15</u>

Q35. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling the state's immigration issues? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Approve (net)	<u>37</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>31</u>
Approve strongly	<u>12</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>20</u>
Disapprove (net)	<u>44</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>58</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>17</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>27</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>42</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>11</u>

Q36. Would you say that Arnold Schwarzenegger has shown decisive leadership while serving as governor of California, or not?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Yes, decisive leader	<u>50</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>36</u>
No, not decisive leader	<u>44</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>59</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>

(ORDER OF IMPRESSION QUESTIONS IS ROTATED)

Q37. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Arnold Schwarzenegger? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>49</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>31</u>
Very favorable	15	4	12	31	6	12	25	19	8
Somewhat favorable	34	21	38	47	23	37	41	36	23
Unfavorable (net)	<u>47</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>66</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	22	29	30	11	32	21	16	21	23
Very unfavorable	25	42	15	10	37	25	16	20	43
Haven't heard enough	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	-	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	-	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	-	<u>2</u>	-

Q38. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Phil Angelides? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>34</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>40</u>
Very favorable	6	13	3	1	13	6	1	6	5
Somewhat favorable	28	43	26	14	45	29	17	28	34
Unfavorable (net)	<u>26</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>18</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	15	11	16	19	12	15	18	16	12
Very unfavorable	11	4	5	22	4	8	18	13	7
Haven't heard enough	<u>36</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>37</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>

Q39. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Steve Westly? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>40</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>37</u>
Very favorable	8	13	3	3	13	10	2	8	9
Somewhat favorable	32	45	26	24	46	35	20	33	28
Unfavorable (net)	<u>17</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>12</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	12	10	10	14	9	7	18	13	7
Very unfavorable	5	1	3	10	1	5	9	6	5
Haven't heard enough	<u>38</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>46</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>

Q40. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Rocky Delgadillo? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>17</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>32</u>
Very favorable	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>8</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>13</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>
Unfavorable (net)	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>73</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>54</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>

Q41. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Jerry Brown? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>43</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>31</u>
Very favorable	<u>15</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>8</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>28</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>24</u>
Unfavorable (net)	<u>25</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>18</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>12</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>7</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>27</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>45</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>

Q42. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Chuck Poochigian ? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>
Very favorable	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>
Unfavorable (net)	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>91</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>89</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>

Q43. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Dianne Feinstein? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about her to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>55</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>61</u>
Very favorable	27	45	20	10	43	29	14	24	31
Somewhat favorable	28	35	33	18	32	33	20	26	30
Unfavorable (net)	<u>34</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>19</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	13	7	14	19	8	13	17	16	5
Very unfavorable	21	4	17	44	6	12	42	26	14
Haven't heard enough	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>19</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

Q44. Generally speaking, what is your impression of Richard Mountjoy? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Favorable (net)	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>
Very favorable	1	1	1	1	-	2	1	1	-
Somewhat favorable	4	2	3	9	1	4	7	3	7
Unfavorable (net)	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2
Very unfavorable	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1
Haven't heard enough	<u>91</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>87</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	-	<u>2</u>	-	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

(ORDER OF NEXT TWO QUESTIONS AND CANDIDATE NAMES ARE ROTATED)

Q45. If the November 2006 general election for governor of California were being held today and the candidates were were Arnold Schwarzenegger, the Republican and Steve Westly, the Democrat, for whom would you vote, Schwarzenegger or Westly? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Schwarzenegger	<u>40</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>22</u>
Westly	<u>50</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>70</u>
Someone else (vol)	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-
Don't know	9	7	12	8	6	11	7	8	8

Q46. If the November 2006 general election for governor of California were being held today and the candidates were Arnold Schwarzenegger, the Republican and Phil Angelides, the Democrat, for whom would you vote, Schwarzenegger or Angelides? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Schwarzenegger	45	15	47	81	18	43	70	52	29
Angelides	46	76	41	13	75	43	26	41	61
Someone else (vol)	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	2
Don't know	8	8	12	5	6	13	4	6	8

(ORDER OF CANDIDATE NAMES ARE ROTATED)

Q47. If the November 2006 general election for U.S. Senator were being held today and the candidates were Dianne Feinstein, the Democrat and Richard Mountjoy, the Republican, for whom would you vote, Feinstein or Mountjoy? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Feinstein	59	91	60	21	88	65	31	52	71
Mountjoy	30	3	22	66	5	21	58	37	20
Someone else (vol)	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Don't know	11	6	18	13	6	14	11	10	9

Q48. As you may know, voters will be asked to approve four bond measures in November totaling about thirty-seven billion dollars, that are part of a public works package to repair and expand roadways, enhance public transit, build schools and affordable housing, shore up levees, and make ports more secure and less polluted, among other things. Over the next two decades, the state would have to pay as much as two and a half billion dollars annually toward the debt. Based on what you know or just heard, in general, would you support or oppose these four bond measures? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Would you strongly (support/oppose) these bond measures or only somewhat (support/oppose) them?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
Support (net)	55	62	61	44	69	52	49	53	64
Strongly support	25	27	24	23	29	21	28	24	33
Somewhat support	30	35	37	21	40	32	21	29	31
Oppose (net)	33	25	29	46	20	36	40	36	22
Somewhat oppose	16	13	17	19	10	21	15	17	14
Strongly oppose	17	12	12	27	10	15	25	19	8
Don't know (net)	12	13	10	10	11	12	11	11	14

Q49. As you may know, the state Legislature and the governor agreed to put these four bond measures on the ballot. Does Arnold Schwarzenegger's endorsement of the bond measures make you more or less likely to vote for him for governor in November, or does his endorsement not make a difference as to how you will vote one way or the other? (IF MORE OR LESS LIKELY) Does it make you much (more/less) likely to vote for Schwarzenegger or only somewhat (more/less) likely?

	Registered voters								
	<u>REG</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>WHT</u>	<u>LAT</u>
More likely (net)	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>
Much more likely	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>
Somewhat more likely	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>
Less likely (net)	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>
Somewhat less likely	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>
Much less likely	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>
No difference	<u>80</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>84</u>
Don't know (net)	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>

How the poll was conducted

The Times Poll contacted 1,994 adults in the state of California by telephone May 20 through May 25, 2006. Among them were 1,542 registered voters, of which 836 were deemed likely to vote in the June 6th primary (including 424 voters deemed likely to vote in the Democratic primary and 343 in the Republican primary). Likely voters were determined by a screening process which included questions on intention to vote, certainty of vote, first time voter, interest in the campaign, absentee voting and past voting history. Telephone numbers were randomly selected from among a list of all exchanges in the state, allowing contact with both listed and unlisted numbers. Multiple attempts were made to contact each number. Adults in the entire sample were weighted slightly to conform with census proportions for sex, ethnicity, age, education, region, and the Secretary of State's report of party registration. The margin of sampling error for all adults, registered and likely voters is plus or minus 3 percentage points; for Democratic and Republican primary likely voters it is plus or minus 5. For certain other subgroups, the error margin may be somewhat higher. Poll results may also be affected by factors such as question wording and the order in which questions are presented. Interview were conducted in both English and Spanish.