

# **LOS ANGELES TIMES STAT SHEET**

Selected results from the Times Poll  
California Issues  
Survey # 502  
April 17-21, 2004

## **Guide to Column Headings**

All	All adults
RV	Registered voters
Dem	Registered Democrats
Ind	Registered Independents
Rep	Registered Republicans
Lib	Self-described Liberals
Mod	Self-described Moderates
Con	Self-described Conservatives
Men	Men
Wom	Women

### **Note**

- All answer frequencies are given in percentages.
- (Vol) = volunteered response

Q1. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or are they seriously off on the wrong track?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Right direction	36	36	16	36	62	19	31	56	39	32
Wrong track	56	56	77	57	28	77	59	35	54	59
Don't know	8	8	7	7	10	4	10	9	7	9

**Trend for Q1**

(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Right direction	Wrong track	Don't know
498/Q1	02/04	34	53	13
486/Q1	08/03	17	71	12
485/Q1	07/03	24	65	11
483/Q1	03/03	24	68	8
476/Q1	10/02	46	39	15
474/Q1	09/02	41	45	14
466/Q1	02/02	46	36	18
464/Q1	01/02	48	37	15
461/Q1	06/01	29	57	14
453/Q1	02/01	38	47	15
451/Q1	01/01	45	40	15
*446/Q2	10/00	51	39	10
*437/Q1	02/00	53	30	17
428/Q5	06/99	52	34	14
426/Q10	05/99	46	39	15
418/Q7	10/98	54	33	13
416/Q5	09/98	61	28	11
411/Q7	05/98	48	39	13
410/Q8	04/98	52	38	10
403/Q8	12/97	47	38	15
400/Q7	10/97	46	40	14
386/Q8	10/96	42	44	14
383/Q7	09/96	39	53	8
378/Q7	07/96	34	54	12
372/Q6	03/96	32	53	15
365/Q6	09/95	27	64	9
355/Q9	03/95	32	55	13
348/Q6	10/94	27	64	9
346/Q6	10/94	23	65	12
343/Q6	09/94	25	63	12
335/Q6	05/94	25	65	10
333/Q6	03/94	25	63	12
324/Q6	10/93	18	74	8
*320/Q9	09/93	20	68	12
310/Q6	03/93	18	72	10
301/Q5	10/92	14	80	6
298/Q6	09/92	12	82	6
*282/Q6	05/92	13	80	7
*278/Q31	04/92	19	73	8
264/Q6	12/91	18	69	13
260/Q6	10/91	28	60	12
*252/Q9	05/91	31	59	10

Q2. What do you think is the most important problem facing California today? Is there another problem you feel is almost as important? (UP TO TWO REPLIES ACCEPTED) (TOP FIVE RESPONSES ARE SHOWN)

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Budget deficit	20	20	19	21	23	24	19	18	24	16
Economy	18	17	18	17	15	21	19	16	20	17
Education	17	16	18	19	13	21	17	13	14	19
Jobs	11	11	14	7	9	12	12	10	13	9
Immigration	9	10	5	5	17	2	8	17	9	10

**Trend for Q2**

**Study: 483 Q2**

**Date: 02/03**

	<u>All</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>
Economy (Net)	58	62	42	69	54	60	61
Budget shortfall	27	35	16	37	28	29	27
Economy (general)	19	23	12	20	21	18	18
Unemployment	9	9	3	6	8	8	11
Terrorism/Homeland security	4	2	3	3	5	3	4
Taxes	4	3	-	8	1	2	7
Inflation	3	1	9	3	1	5	2
Infrastructure maintenance	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Business leaving state	1	2	-	2	1	3	1
Business regulation	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
Social (Net)	47	53	48	42	54	48	41
Education	28	36	23	20	35	33	19
Immigration	6	3	4	11	1	4	12
Health care	4	6	6	2	4	3	4
Environment/Pollution	4	5	6	2	9	3	2
Affordable housing	3	3	1	3	2	3	4
Too much growth	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
Homelessness/Poverty	2	2	2	1	2	2	1
Drought	2	1	5	2	2	3	2
Welfare	1	1	4	-	1	1	-
Family values breakdown	1	-	-	1	1	-	1
Racism	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Police brutality	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Energy (Net)	12	12	17	9	14	17	7
High gasoline prices	5	5	8	3	5	8	3
Energy crisis/Deregulation	5	6	5	5	7	6	3
High electricity rates	2	1	4	1	2	2	1
High natural gas rates	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Government (Net)	10	5	8	22	4	9	17
Democratic governor	5	2	5	14	1	3	10
Government (general)	2	1	1	3	1	1	3
Political atmosphere	1	-	1	1	-	2	1
Lack of leadership	1	1	1	3	1	1	2
Government corruption	1	1	-	1	-	1	1
Democrats control							
Legislature	1	-	1	1	-	1	1
Over-regulation	-	-	1	1	-	-	1

*Continued on the next page.*

Continued from the previous page.

	<u>All</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>
Crime (Net)	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>
Gangs	3	3	7	-	4	2	1
Crime (general)	3	3	3	3	2	2	3
Drugs	2	1	1	-	1	4	-
Violence	1	1	2	-	1	1	-
Judicial system	1	1	-	-	1	-	-
Prison system	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Moral (Net)	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	-	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
Moral decline/Ethics	1	1	1	2	-	2	1
Greed	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Other (Net)	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>
War possibility	6	5	8	3	4	6	8
Traffic/Congestion	1	1	2	1	1	2	1
Public transportation	1	1	1	-	2	-	-
Insurance	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
Other	4	5	10	3	3	5	4
Nothing	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	-
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>

**Trend for Q2 Continued**

(All CA adults)

	<u>1/02</u>	<u>6/01</u>	<u>2/01</u>	<u>1/01</u>
Social (Net)	<u>51</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>49</u>
Education	25	16	19	19
Immigration	8	6	4	2
Environment/Pollution	6	6	5	5
Affordable housing	4	4	3	6
Too much growth	3	7	7	7
Health care	3	2	2	2
Homelessness/Poverty	3	2	1	5
Racism	2	1	2	-
Welfare	1	2	1	1
Family values breakdown	1	1	1	2
Drought/Water shortage	1	1	1	1
Lack of community spirit	1	1	-	1
Problems with youth	1	1	-	3
Police brutality	-	2	-	1
Bilingual education	-	-	-	1
Economy (Net)	<u>41</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>20</u>
Economy (general)	18	6	5	5
Unemployment	12	4	1	3
Taxes	4	2	2	3
Inflation	3	3	2	6
State budget deficit	3	*	*	*
Terrorism/Homeland security	3	*	*	*
Business leaving state	1	1	-	1
Infrastructure maintenance	1	1	2	2
Business regulation	1	-	1	1

Continued on the next page.

Continued from the previous page.

**(All CA adults)**

	<u>1/02</u>	<u>6/01</u>	<u>2/01</u>	<u>1/01</u>
Recession	<u>1</u>	*	*	*
Energy (Net)	<u>27</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>39</u>
Energy crisis/Deregulation	<u>21</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>12</u>
High electricity rates	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>23</u>
High gasoline prices	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>
High natural gas rates	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>8</u>
Crime (Net)	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>
Crime (general)	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>
Drugs	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>
Violence	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>
Gangs	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
Judicial system	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>
Prison system	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>
Government (Net)	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>
Democratic governor	<u>2</u>	*	*	*
Democrats control Legislature	<u>1</u>	*	*	*
Government (general)	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
Government corruption	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Political atmosphere	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Lack of leadership	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Governmental over-regulation	<u>1</u>	*	*	*
Moral (Net)	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
Moral decline/Ethics	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
Greed	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>
Other (Net)	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>12</u>
Traffic/Congestion	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>
Public transportation	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
Other	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>
Nothing	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>

\* An asterisk indicates that a response was not given in this particular survey or was included under a different net.

**Trend for Q2 Continued**

**(All CA adults)**

	<u>6/99</u>	<u>9/98b</u>	<u>4/98b</u>	<u>12/97</u>	<u>10/97a</u>	<u>9/96b</u>
Social (Net)	<u>63</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>57</u>
Education	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>18</u>
Immigration	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>22</u>
Too much growth	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>
Environment/Pollution	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>
Homelessness/Poverty	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>
Welfare	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>
Racism	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Affordable housing	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Health care	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Family values breakdown	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Problems with youth	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>

Continued on the next page.

Continued from the previous page.

**Trend for Q2 Continued**  
(All CA adults)

	<u>6/99</u>	<u>9/98b</u>	<u>4/98b</u>	<u>12/97</u>	<u>10/97a</u>	<u>9/96b</u>
Police brutality	2	—	—	—	—	1
Drought/Water shortage	1	2	1	—	1	1
Lack of community spirit	1	—	1	1	1	*
Bilinguals	—	1	*	1	*	*
Affirmative action	—	—	1	2	3	1
Infrastructure maintenance	*	1	*	*	*	*
Crime (Net)	<u>32</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>40</u>
Crime (general)	15	18	20	17	20	22
Drugs	7	7	9	8	8	10
Gangs	7	6	7	10	10	9
Violence	6	2	4	6	3	4
Gun control	2	*	*	*	*	*
Judicial system	1	2	1	1	2	1
Prison system	1	1	1	—	—	1
Economy (Net)	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>34</u>
Unemployment	7	8	7	9	9	17
Taxes	5	6	6	3	5	5
Economy (general)	5	5	5	5	7	11
Inflation	2	3	1	2	1	*
Business regulation	1	1	2	1	2	1
Business leaving state	1	1	1	1	1	1
State budget deficit	1	—	1	1	1	1
Infrastructure maintenance	1	*	*	*	*	*
Recession	—	—	—	—	—	1
Moral (Net)	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
Moral decline/Ethics	3	2	2	3	3	2
Godlessness	*	*	*	1	*	*
Government (Net)	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>
Government (general)	1	1	2	2	2	2
Government corruption	1	1	1	1	1	1
Political atmosphere	1	1	1	1	1	1
Governor Wilson	*	1	1	1	2	1
Other (Net)	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>
Traffic/Congestion	3	4	5	2	4	1
Public transportation	1	1	1	1	1	*
Disasters	—	—	2	1	—	—
Insurance	—	—	1	1	1	*
Clinton scandal	*	1	*	*	*	*
Other	6	5	7	5	7	6
Nothing	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	—
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>

\* An asterisk indicates that a response was not given in this particular survey or was included under a different net. In these 1999 and earlier polls, "Energy" comprised fewer than 1% of responses and is subsumed under "Other."

Continued on the next page.

Continued from the previous page.

**Trend for Q2 continued**  
(All CA adults)

	<u>9/95a</u>	<u>3/95a</u>	<u>10/94a</u>	<u>9/94a</u>	<u>5/94</u>	<u>3/94b</u>
Social (Net)	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>46</u>
Education	17	14	14	18	14	14
Immigration	15	19	21	16	15	12
Welfare	6	8	6	4	5	6
Racism	4	3	2	2	2	1
Environment/Pollution	3	2	3	3	3	4
Too much growth	3	2	2	3	3	1
Homelessness/Poverty	3	3	5	3	5	5
Health care	2	2	4	4	4	4
Family values breakdown	2	2	1	1	2	1
Problems with youth	1	2	1	2	2	2
Affordable housing	1	1	1	1	1	1
Drought/Water shortage	1	–	1	–	1	1
Police brutality	1	–	–	–	–	–
Proposition 187	–	1	*	*	*	*
Disasters	*	*	*	*	*	2
Crime (Net)	<u>41</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>49</u>
Crime (general)	23	25	29	32	31	35
Gangs	11	5	5	8	7	7
Drugs	8	4	4	4	5	5
Violence	4	4	2	4	5	5
Judicial system	2	1	3	1	2	1
Prison system	–	–	1	*	*	*
Economy (Net)	<u>41</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>49</u>
Unemployment	18	18	24	22	26	20
Economy (general)	14	18	16	18	20	18
Taxes	5	4	5	4	5	6
State budget deficit	3	4	4	2	3	3
Business regulation	2	2	1	1	1	1
Business leaving state	2	3	3	4	2	4
Recession	1	1	2	1	1	1
Military base closures	–	1	–	–	–	–
Worker's compensation	–	–	–	–	–	1
Defense downsizing	–	–	1	*	*	*
Government (Net)	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>
Government (general)	2	3	3	3	2	4
Governor Wilson	2	2	1	1	1	1
Political atmosphere	2	1	*	*	*	*
Government corruption	1	1	1	1	1	1
Moral (Net)	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>
Moral decline/Ethics	2	2	2	1	2	2
Other (Net)	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>
Disasters	–	1	–	–	–	*
Other	7	8	7	7	8	7
Nothing	–	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	–	<u>1</u>	–
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

\* An asterisk indicates that a response was not given in this particular survey or was included under a different net. In these earlier polls, "Energy" comprised fewer than 1% of responses and is subsumed under "Other."

(QUESTIONS 5 TO 16 ARE ASKED OF REGISTERED VOTERS ONLY)

Q5. If the November general election for president were being held today and the candidates were George W. Bush, the Republican and John Kerry, the Democrat, for whom would you vote: Bush, or Kerry? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
George W. Bush	41	11	42	80	11	35	74	43	40
John Kerry	53	82	50	15	86	55	22	51	54
Someone else	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
Don't know	6	6	7	5	3	9	4	6	6

Q6. Are you planning to vote for your presidential candidate mostly because you like him and his policies, or mostly because you are voting against his opponent?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Like him	52	41	51	70	34	45	72	53	51
Voting against opponent	44	56	43	25	61	51	24	45	43
Don't know	4	3	6	5	5	4	4	2	6

Q7. If the November general election for president were being held today and the candidates were George W. Bush, the Republican, John Kerry, the Democrat, and Ralph Nader running as an independent, for whom would you vote: Bush, Kerry or Nader? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
George W. Bush	39	9	40	78	7	33	73	39	39
John Kerry	49	80	44	14	77	54	19	47	49
Ralph Nader	6	4	10	3	12	4	3	8	5
Don't know	6	7	6	5	4	9	5	6	7

Q8. As you may know, Governor Schwarzenegger has endorsed George W. Bush for president. Does that make you more likely or less likely to vote for Bush for president, or does Schwarzenegger's endorsement not make a difference in your vote one way or the other? (IF MORE OR LESS) Does the governor's endorsement make you much (more/less) likely or only somewhat (more/less) likely?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More likely (Net)	5	2	10	7	1	6	8	6	4
Much more likely	3	1	5	4	1	4	3	3	2
Somewhat more likely	2	-	5	3	-	1	4	3	2
Less Likely (Net)	5	8	3	2	10	4	2	4	6
Somewhat less likely	1	2	1	1	2	1	-	1	2
Much less likely	4	7	2	1	8	4	2	3	5
No difference	89	90	87	90	89	90	89	90	89
Don't know	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1

Q9. If the November general election for U.S. Senator were being held today and the candidates were Barbara Boxer, the Democrat and Bill Jones, the Republican, for whom would you vote: Boxer or Jones? (INCLUDES LEANERS)

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Barbara Boxer	<b>54</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>60</b>
Bill Jones	<b>34</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>
Someone else (Vol)	-	-	<b>3</b>	-	-	<b>1</b>	-	<b>1</b>	-
Don't know	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>

Q10. What is your impression of Barbara Boxer? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about her to say?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Favorable (Net)	<b>54</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>59</b>
Very favorable	<b>22</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>
Somewhat favorable	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>
Unfavorable (Net)	<b>32</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>26</b>
Somewhat unfavorable	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>
Very unfavorable	<b>18</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>
Haven't heard enough	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>
Don't know	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	-	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>

***Trend for Q10***

(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Haven't heard	Very favorable	Somewhat favorable	Somewhat unfavorable	Very unfavorable	Don't know
*419/Q26	11/98	<b>2</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>6</b>
*418/Q34	10/98	<b>11</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>
*416/Q41	09/98	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>
*411/Q27	05/98	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>
*410/Q34	04/98	<b>16</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>
400/Q56	10/97	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>
*386/Q56	10/96	<b>28</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>
*301/Q29	10/92	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>
*298/Q25	09/92	<b>40</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
*282/Q36	05/92	<b>64</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>
*278/Q49	04/92	<b>64</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
264/Q58	12/91	<b>83</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>

**COMBINED RESPONSES**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Favorable	Unfavorable	Haven't heard	Don't know
*419/C26	11/98	<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>
*418/C34	10/98	<b>53</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>
*416/C41	09/98	<b>50</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>
*411/C27	05/98	<b>48</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>
*410/C34	04/98	<b>52</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>
400/C56	10/97	<b>50</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>
*386/C56	10/96	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3</b>
*301/C29	10/92	<b>45</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>
*298/C25	09/92	<b>39</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2</b>
*282/C36	05/92	<b>21</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>1</b>
*278/C49	04/92	<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>2</b>
264/C58	12/91	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>2</b>

Q11.What is your impression of Bill Jones? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable, or haven't you heard enough about him to say?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>25</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>22</u>
Very favorable	5	1	1	12	1	3	10	7	4
Somewhat favorable	20	8	14	38	8	19	32	22	18
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>14</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	8	13	5	3	15	8	3	9	8
Very unfavorable	6	10	4	1	11	6	2	7	5
Haven't heard enough	<u>56</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>61</u>
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>

**Tend for Q11**

	<u>02/02*</u>	<u>01/02*</u>	<u>06/01*</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>21</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>10</u>
Very favorable	4	4	2
Somewhat favorable	17	14	8
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	6	5	4
Very unfavorable	2	2	1
Haven't heard enough	<u>66</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>81</u>
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>

**\*Registered voters**

Q12.As you may know, Barbara Boxer supports a woman's right to decide whether to have an abortion or not. Bill Jones opposes abortion, except in the case of incest, rape or to save the life of the mother. Which candidate for U.S. Senator do you think comes closer to your views on abortion: Barbara Boxer or Bill Jones?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Barbara Boxer	<u>62</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>63</u>
Bill Jones	<u>32</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>31</u>
Both (Vol)	1	-	-	2	-	1	2	1	1
Neither (Vol)	1	1	-	1	1	2	1	2	1
Don't know	4	3	5	4	-	3	7	2	4

Q13. As you may know, Barbara Boxer is in favor of stricter gun control laws. Bill Jones opposes stricter gun control laws. Which candidate for U.S. Senator do you think comes closer to your views on gun control: Barbara Boxer or Bill Jones?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Barbara Boxer	<u>64</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>72</u>
Bill Jones	<u>27</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>19</u>
Both (Vol)	2	1	3	4	1	3	2	2	2
Neither (Vol)	1	-	3	1	1	1	1	2	1
Don't know	6	3	5	9	2	5	8	5	6

Q14 As you may know, in the past Bill Jones has favored new offshore oil drilling along the state's coast. Now he says that he favors the federal government buying back the leases and supports a ban on new offshore oil drilling along the state's coast. Do you think Bill Jones has changed his mind on this issue, or do you think Bill Jones is now saying he supports a ban on new offshore oil drilling in order to get elected?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Changed his mind	21	12	19	35	14	16	32	22	20
Saying to get elected	50	62	53	29	64	56	33	51	48
Don't know	29	26	28	36	22	28	35	27	32

Q15. As you may know, Bill Jones is the author of the Three Strikes legislation. This law doubles the sentence for an ex-felon convicted of a second felony, and if this person commits a third violent crime, he or she can be sentenced to twenty-five years to life in prison. Does that fact make you more likely or less likely to vote for Jones for U.S. Senator, or does that fact not affect your vote one way or the other? (IF MORE OR LESS LIKELY) Does that make you much (more/less) likely to vote for Jones, or somewhat (more/less) likely to vote for him?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More likely (Net)	26	12	28	44	8	23	46	29	24
Much more likely	13	6	12	25	3	11	25	14	13
Somewhat more likely	13	6	16	19	5	12	21	15	11
Less likely (Net)	24	30	24	12	45	22	7	23	24
Somewhat less likely	9	11	6	7	15	9	5	9	9
Much less likely	15	19	18	5	30	13	2	14	15
Doesn't affect	46	54	45	39	45	51	42	45	47
Don't know	4	4	3	5	2	4	5	3	5

**For comparison: the wording of this question is different in earlier poll/s.**

**Wording in earlier poll/s:**

As you may know, Bill Jones is the author of the Three Strikes legislation. Does that fact make you more likely to vote for Jones for governor, or less likely, or does that fact not affect your vote one way or the other? (IF MORE OR LESS LIKELY) Does that make you much (more/less) likely to vote for Jones, or somewhat (more/less) likely to vote for him?

**Study: 466 Q48**  
**Date: 02/02**

	<u>RV</u>
More likely (Net)	25
Much more	10
Somewhat more	15
Less likely (Net)	25
Somewhat less	10
Much less	14
No effect	47
Don't know	43

Q16. As you may know, Governor Schwarzenegger has endorsed Bill Jones for U.S. Senator. Does that make you more likely or less likely to vote for Bill Jones, or does Schwarzenegger's endorsement not make a difference in your vote one way or the other? (IF MORE OR LESS) Does the governor's endorsement make you much (more/less) likely or only somewhat (more/less) likely?

	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More likely (Net)	<u>11</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>
Much more likely	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>
Somewhat more likely	<u>6</u>	-	<u>9</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>
Less likely (Net)	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>
Somewhat less likely	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	-	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>
Much less likely	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>
Doesn't affect	<u>79</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>78</u>
Don't know	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	-	<u>2</u>	-	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

Q17. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>45</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>42</u>
Approve strongly	<u>25</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>18</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>55</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>43</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>44</u>
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

**Trend for Q17**

**Study: 498 Q38**

**Date: 02/04**

	<u>All</u>	<u>Registered voters</u>							
	<u>RV</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>		
Approve (net)	<u>47</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>79</u>	
Approve strongly	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>45</u>	
Approve somewhat	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>34</u>	
Disapprove (net)	<u>49</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>19</u>	
Disapprove somewhat	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>6</u>	
Disapprove strongly	<u>34</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>13</u>	
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	

-Continued on the next page.

-Continued from the previous page.

**Trend for Q17 continued**  
(All CA adults)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve strongly	Approve somewhat	Disapprove somewhat	Disapprove strongly	Don't know
486/Q2	08/03	26	21	14	33	6
485/Q3	07/03	30	26	12	27	5
483/Q3	03/03	30	18	13	35	4
474/Q2	09/02	30	24	17	23	6
464/Q3	01/02	42	34	9	10	5
461/Q3	06/01	18	22	14	31	15

**COMBINED RESPONSES**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know
486/C2	08/03	47	47	6
485/C3	07/03	56	39	5
483/C3	03/03	48	48	4
474/C2	09/02	54	40	6
464/C3	01/02	76	19	5
461/C3	06/01	40	45	15

Q18. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling the situation in Iraq? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	40	41	16	39	76	16	32	70	44	37
Approve strongly	24	24	8	21	48	10	16	45	26	23
Approve somewhat	16	17	8	18	28	6	16	26	18	14
Disapprove (Net)	56	56	81	60	21	82	64	26	53	59
Disapprove somewhat	9	9	10	16	5	11	12	5	8	10
Disapprove strongly	47	47	71	45	16	71	52	20	45	49
Don't know	4	3	3	1	3	2	4	4	3	4

**Trend for Q18**  
(All CA adults)

	485/Q4 07/02/03
Approve (Net)	53
Approve strongly	32
Approve somewhat	21
Disapprove (Net)	41
Disapprove somewhat	9
Disapprove strongly	32
Don't know	6

Q19. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling the war on terrorism? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>49</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>43</u>
Approve strongly	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>25</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>48</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>53</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>36</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>40</u>
Don't know	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>

Q20. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling the economy? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>40</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>38</u>
Approve strongly	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>20</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>55</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>57</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>17</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>40</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>41</u>
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>

**Trend for Q20**  
(All CA adults)

485/Q5  
07/02/03

Approve (Net)	<u>49</u>
Approve strongly	<u>18</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>31</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>44</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>12</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>32</u>
Don't know	<u>7</u>

Q21. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or do you (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>64</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>60</u>
Approve strongly	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>30</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>30</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>14</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>16</u>
Don't know	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>

-Continued on the next page.

-Continued from the previous page.

**Trend for Q21**

**Study: 498 Q43**

**Date: 02/04**

	Registered voters							
	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>DEM</u>	<u>IND</u>	<u>REP</u>	<u>LIB</u>	<u>MOD</u>	<u>CON</u>
Approve (net)	<u>61</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>76</u>
Approve strongly	<u>29</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>46</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>32</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>31</u>
Disapprove (net)	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>4</u>
Don't know	<u>17</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>

Q22. Do you approve or disapprove of the way the state Legislature is handling its job? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>36</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>35</u>
Approve strongly	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>31</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>30</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>47</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>43</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>23</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>26</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>21</u>
Don't know	<u>17</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>22</u>

**Trend for Q22**

**(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve strongly	Approve somewhat	Disapprove somewhat	Disapprove strongly	Don't know
498/Q44	02/04	<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>22</u>
485/Q12	07/03	<u>4</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>20</u>
483/Q24	03/03	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>32</u>
464/Q10	01/02	<u>6</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>28</u>
461/Q5	06/01	<u>4</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>24</u>
451/Q7	01/01	<u>6</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>25</u>
*437/Q42	02/00	<u>11</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>25</u>
428/Q8	06/99	<u>8</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>25</u>
410/Q12	04/98	<u>5</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>23</u>
400/Q11	10/97	<u>6</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>18</u>
386/Q11	10/96	<u>5</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>
383/Q11	09/96	<u>4</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>
378/Q10	07/96	<u>6</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>17</u>
*372/Q11	03/96	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>21</u>

-Continued on the next page.

-Continued from the previous page.

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve strongly	Approve somewhat	Disapprove somewhat	Disapprove strongly	Don't know
365/Q11	09/95	3	26	25	31	15
355/Q13	03/95	4	24	24	27	21
335/Q10	05/94	4	23	25	30	18
324/Q12	10/93	2	24	20	23	31
*320/Q13	09/93	3	21	23	31	22
310/Q11	03/93	4	16	24	40	16
301/Q8	10/92	2	13	25	46	14
298/Q57	09/92	3	10	28	46	13
264/Q18	12/91	3	25	29	28	15
260/Q12	10/91	3	31	26	19	21
*252/Q23	05/91	5	28	27	22	18
72/Q53	10/83	5	38	20	15	22
70/Q32	08/83	6	29	17	26	22

### Trend for Q22 continued

#### COMBINED RESPONSES

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know
498/C44	02/04	33	45	22
485/C12	07/03	27	53	20
483/C24	03/03	32	37	31
464/C10	01/02	43	29	28
461/C5	06/01	35	41	24
451/C7	01/01	45	30	25
*437/C42	02/00	54	21	25
428/C8	06/99	46	29	25
410/C12	04/98	43	34	23
400/C11	10/97	41	41	18
386/C11	10/96	40	40	20
383/C11	09/96	38	43	19
378/C10	07/96	36	47	17
*372/C11	03/96	31	48	21
365/C11	09/95	29	56	15
355/C13	03/95	27	52	21
335/C10	05/94	27	55	18
324/C12	10/93	26	43	31
*320/C13	09/93	24	54	22
310/C11	03/93	20	64	16
301/C8	10/92	15	72	13
298/C57	09/92	13	73	14
264/C18	12/91	28	57	15
260/C12	10/91	34	45	21
*252/C23	05/91	33	49	18
72/C53	10/83	43	35	22
70/C32	08/83	35	43	22

Q23. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Dianne Feinstein is handling her job as U.S. Senator? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>59</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>59</u>
Approve strongly	<u>24</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>26</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>35</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>33</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>22</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>20</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>
Don't know	<u>19</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>21</u>

***Trend for Q23***

(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve strongly	Approve somewhat	Disapprove somewhat	Disapprove strongly	Don't know
498/Q45	02/04	23	34	11	14	18
485/Q15	07/03	21	32	12	11	24
483/Q27	03/03	21	29	11	14	25
461/Q6	06/01	24	30	10	12	24
*437/Q43	02/00	29	32	9	15	15
428/Q9	06/99	22	29	12	14	23
410/Q14	04/98	25	28	14	14	19
400/Q13	10/97	18	35	12	17	18
378/Q14	07/96	18	30	14	23	15
365/Q13	09/95	18	31	14	20	17
355/Q15	03/95	16	27	14	26	17
348/Q10	10/94	13	23	17	29	18
346/Q10	10/94	12	22	15	30	21
343/Q10	09/94	15	26	13	26	20
335/Q11	05/94	19	28	12	22	19
333/Q12	03/94	21	31	10	14	24
*320/Q15	09/93	18	28	11	16	27
310/Q13	03/93	16	26	10	13	35

**COMBINED RESPONSES**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know
498/C45	02/04	57	25	18
485/C15	07/03	53	22	25
483/C27	03/03	50	25	25
461/C6	06/01	54	22	24
*437/C43	02/00	60	25	15
428/C9	06/99	52	26	22
410/C14	04/98	53	28	19
400/C13	10/97	54	29	17
378/C14	07/96	48	37	15
365/C13	09/95	49	34	17
355/C15	03/95	43	40	17
348/C10	10/94	35	46	19
346/C10	10/94	34	45	21
343/C10	09/94	41	39	20
335/C11	05/94	47	34	19
333/C12	03/94	52	24	24
*320/C15	09/93	46	26	28
310/C13	03/93	42	23	35

Q24. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barbara Boxer is handling her job as U.S. Senator? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or (approve/disapprove) somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>53</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>59</u>
Approve strongly	<u>23</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>29</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>28</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>22</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>
Don't know	<u>19</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>

***Trend for Q24***

(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve strongly	Approve somewhat	Disapprove somewhat	Disapprove strongly	Don't know
498/Q46	02/04	22	28	11	21	18
485/Q14	07/03	19	23	10	16	32
483/Q26	03/03	19	24	7	18	32
461/Q7	06/01	17	28	11	16	28
*437/Q44	02/00	17	32	9	18	24
418/Q9	10/98	22	27	9	18	24
416/Q9	09/98	15	33	9	17	26
411/Q8	05/98	12	28	8	16	36
410/Q13	04/98	17	28	8	16	31
400/Q12	10/97	14	29	11	18	28
378/Q13	07/96	14	30	11	23	22
365/Q12	09/95	13	27	11	21	28
355/Q14	03/95	10	23	12	21	34
333/Q11	03/94	15	24	11	16	34
*320/Q14	09/93	13	20	9	18	40
310/Q12	03/93	10	20	8	16	46

**COMBINED RESPONSES**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know
498/C46	02/04	50	32	18
485/C14	07/03	42	26	32
483/C26	03/03	43	25	32
461/C7	06/01	45	28	27
*437/C44	02/00	49	27	24
418/C9	10/98	49	27	24
416/C9	09/98	48	26	26
411/C8	05/98	40	24	36
410/C13	04/98	45	24	31
400/C12	10/97	43	29	28
378/C13	07/96	44	34	22
365/C12	09/95	40	32	28
355/C14	03/95	34	33	33
333/C11	03/94	39	27	34
*320/C14	09/93	33	27	40
310/C12	03/93	30	23	47

Q25. Generally speaking, do you think that President Bush's tax cuts have helped the American economy, or hurt it, or have the tax cuts made no difference to the economy one way or the other? (IF HELPED OR HURT) Has it (helped/hurt) the American economy a lot or a little?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Helped (Net)	<u>33</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>29</u>
Helped a lot	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>
Helped a little	<u>20</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>
Hurt (Net)	<u>28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>
Hurt a little	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>
Hurt a lot	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>
No difference	<u>33</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>37</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>

Q26. Would you say you, yourself, are better off financially than you were when George W. Bush became president more than three years ago, or worse off, or in about the same financial shape as you were then? (IF BETTER OR WORSE) Are you much (better/worse) off or only somewhat (better/worse) off?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Better (Net)	<u>20</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>
Much better	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>
Somewhat better	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>
Worse (Net)	<u>25</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
Somewhat worse	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>
Much worse	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>
About the same	<u>54</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55</u>
Don't know	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>

Q27. Did you happen to watch or listen to President Bush's press conference (on Tuesday/last week), or not? (IF NOT) Did you read any articles or hear commentary about the press conference, or not?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Yes (Net)	<u>60</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>58</u>
Yes, watched	<u>46</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>46</u>
Yes, read	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>
No (Net)	<u>39</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>41</u>
Don't know	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>

Q28. Thinking about what you saw, heard or read about the president's press conference, did President Bush clearly explain his administration's reasons for going to war with Iraq, or not?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Yes, explained clearly	<u>37</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>38</u>
No, did not explain clearly	<u>59</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>57</u>
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>

Q29. Again, thinking about what you saw, heard or read about President Bush's press conference, does that make you more favorable or less favorable toward the president, or does it not make a difference in your view of the president one way or the other? (MORE/LESS) Does that make you much (more/less) favorable toward the president or only somewhat (more/less) favorable?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More Favorable (Net)	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>
Much more favorable	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>
Somewhat more favorable	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>
Less favorable (Net)	<u>38</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>40</u>
Somewhat less favorable	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>
Much less favorable	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>28</u>
No difference	<u>47</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>48</u>

Q30. Thinking back over the past three years, do you think George W. Bush's policies on terrorism and national security have made the country more secure, or less secure, or has it not made a difference one way or the other? (IF MORE OR LESS) Have Bush's policies on terrorism and national security made the country much (more/less) secure or only somewhat (more/less) secure?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More secure (Net)	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>
Much more secure	<u>24</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>19</u>
Somewhat more secure	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>
Less secure (Net)	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>25</u>
Somewhat less secure	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>
Much less secure	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>16</u>
No difference	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>

Q31. Generally speaking, do you think President Bush and his advisors have a clear plan for handling the situation in Iraq, or not? (IF CLEAR PLAN OR NOT) Do you feel strongly about that or not so strongly?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Clear (Net)	<u>33</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>33</u>
Clear, feel strongly	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>20</u>
Clear, feel not so strongly	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>
Not clear (Net)	<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>58</u>
Not clear, feel not so strongly	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>
Not clear, feel strongly	<u>47</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>46</u>
Don't know	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>

Q32. Generally speaking, do you think the outcome of the war in Iraq has been worth the cost in U.S. military lives, or not?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Worth it	<u>28</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>23</u>
Not worth it	<u>64</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>
Don't know	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>

Q33. Generally speaking, do you think the outcome of the war in Iraq has been worth the financial cost to the U.S., or not?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Worth it	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>
Not Worth it	<b>59</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>60</b>
Don't know	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>

Q34. As you may know, Richard Clarke, the Bush administration's former counterterrorism chief recently testified under oath before the 9/11 Commission that President Bush failed to take the threat of terrorism seriously enough before the September 11, 2001 Al Qaeda attacks on the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon. Condoleeza Rice, the Bush administration's National Security Advisor, recently testified under oath that President Bush did all he could to thwart the terrorist attacks on September 11th based on all the information he had and has always been aggressive in dealing with the threat of terrorism. Based on what you know, who do you believe more: Richard Clarke or Condoleeza Rice?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Richard Clarke	<b>46</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>
Condoleeza Rice	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>
Both (Vol)	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Neither (Vol)	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Don't know	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>

Q35. Are you concerned or not concerned that Iraq will become another Vietnam in which the United States does not accomplish its goals despite years of military involvement? (IF CONCERNED) Are you very concerned or somewhat concerned? (IF NOT CONCERNED) Are you not too concerned or not concerned at all?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Concerned (Net)	<b>69</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>
Very concerned	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>
Somewhat concerned	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>
Not concerned (Net)	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>23</b>
Not too concerned	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>
Not concerned at all	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>
Don't know	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>

Q36. Generally speaking, do you think California's economy these days is doing very well, or fairly well, or fairly badly, or very badly?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Well (Net)	<b>43</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>37</b>
Very well	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
Fairly well	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>35</b>
Badly (Net)	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>59</b>
Fairly badly	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>
Very badly	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>24</b>
Don't know	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>

*Continued on the next page.*

Continued from the previous page.

**Trend for Q36**

(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Very well	Fairly well	Fairly badly	Very badly	Don't know
498/q48	02/04	2	38	36	22	2
*486/Q52	08/03	2	26	42	28	2
485/Q16	07/03	4	29	37	28	2
483/Q29	03/03	4	27	41	27	1
474/Q65	09/02	4	51	31	11	3
464/Q13	01/02	4	53	34	6	3
461/Q9	06/01	6	58	26	8	2
451/Q4	01/01	19	62	10	5	4
*446/Q62	10/00	38	49	8	3	2
*437/Q3	02/00	28	52	12	5	3

**COMBINED RESPONSES**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Well	Badly	Don't know
498/C48	02/04	40	57	3
*486/C52	08/03	28	71	1
485/C16	07/03	33	65	2
483/C29	03/03	30	68	2
474/C65	09/02	55	42	3
464/C13	01/02	56	40	4
461/C9	06/01	64	34	2
451/C4	01/01	81	15	4
*446/C62	10/00	87	11	2
*437/C3	02/00	80	17	3

**For comparison: the wording of this question is different in earlier poll/s.**

**Wording in earlier poll/s:**

Would you describe the California economy these days as very robust, fairly robust, fairly shaky, or very shaky?

(Among all CA adults with the exception of those with \* which represent registered voters.)

POLL/QNO	DATE	Very robust	Fairly robust	Fairly shaky	Very shaky	Don't know
428/Q12	06/99	20	52	16	9	3
416/Q11	09/98	12	55	22	8	3
410/Q15	04/98	15	51	22	10	2
400/Q19	10/97	10	44	31	12	3
365/Q20	09/95	2	21	47	27	3
355/Q20	03/95	1	22	48	26	3
335/Q14	05/94	1	13	53	29	4
333/Q15	03/94	1	13	54	31	1
324/Q17	10/93	1	8	46	43	2
*320/Q18	09/93	1	9	39	49	2
310/Q16	03/93	-	6	44	49	1
264/Q21	12/91	2	13	54	29	2

Continued on the next page.

Continued from the previous page.

**COMBINED RESPONSES**

POLL/QNO	DATE	Robust	Shaky	Don't know
428/C12	06/99	72	25	3
416/C11	09/98	67	30	3
410/C15	04/98	66	32	2
400/C19	10/97	55	43	2
365/C20	09/95	23	74	3
355/C20	03/95	24	73	3
335/C14	05/94	14	83	3
333/C15	03/94	14	85	1
324/C17	10/93	9	89	2
*320/C18	09/93	10	88	2
310/C16	03/93	6	93	1
264/C21	12/91	16	82	2

Q37. In general, who or what do you think should get the blame for the state's economic problems? Is there anyone or anything else to blame? (ACCEPTED UP TO TWO RESPONSES)

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Gray Davis	32	32	28	27	42	24	30	47	36	28
State Legislature	15	17	14	14	27	13	15	20	19	13
Bush's economic policy	12	11	14	17	3	14	15	8	11	13
Arnold Schwarzenegger	8	7	10	2	1	9	9	5	6	9
Energy crisis	8	10	12	4	5	12	10	2	7	9
All politicians	7	7	7	9	7	4	9	8	9	6
Corporate fraud	6	7	9	6	3	9	6	1	6	6
Reps in the state leg.	5	5	3	9	3	7	4	3	5	5
World events/Iraq war	5	3	3	1	1	4	7	4	5	4
Everyone in general	5	4	3	10	3	4	7	4	8	3
Government spending	5	5	4	7	7	5	3	8	5	5
Big business	4	4	5	6	2	8	-	1	4	3
Pete Wilson	4	4	7	2	-	2	5	3	5	3
Voting public	3	2	2	-	3	1	4	2	2	3
Dems in the state leg.	3	4	2	5	8	2	1	10	5	2
Big business										
leaving the state	2	2	2	-	3	2	2	2	3	1
Tax cuts	2	3	2	1	4	4	2	1	2	2
Tech/dot com industry										
failures in No. California	2	2	3	-	1	3	2	-	2	2
National economy	2	2	3	2	-	3	1	-	3	1
Unemployment	2	3	4	-	3	4	1	2	1	4
Illegal immigration	1	2	-	2	5	-	2	2	1	2
U.S. Congress	1	1	2	2	-	1	2	-	2	-
Federal reserve board	1	1	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	1
Normal economic cycles	1	1	1	-	2	2	-	1	1	1
September 11th	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Special interests	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Stock market	1	1	1	2	-	2	-	-	1	1

Continued on the next page.

Continued from the previous page.

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Nothing in particular	3	2	2	3	4	2	1	6	1	4
Other	7	8	9	6	10	8	8	6	7	8
Not sure	9	9	9	11	8	8	12	9	7	12
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1

**Trend for Q37**  
(All CA adults)

	<u>8/03</u>	<u>7/03</u>	<u>9/02</u>
Gray Davis	32	38	23
State Legislature	23	20	11
Bush and his			
economic policies	15	16	8
Energy crisis	13	10	7
Government spending/			
Budget deficit	9	9	13
Corporate fraud/Greed	5	5	10
September 11th	3	4	14
Normal economic cycle	6	4	5
The voting public	1	2	*
Tech/Dot-com			
industry failures	4	2	7
Stock market	2	2	5
Democrats in Legislature	3	2	1
Former Gov. Wilson	2	2	2
Big business (general)	3	2	3
Big business			
leaving the state	2	1	*
Republicans in			
Legislature	1	1	1
Congress	1	1	2
Tax cuts/rebates	1	1	1
Other	10	9	7
Everyone in general	4	4	2
Nothing in particular	5	7	10
Don't know	3	6	11

\* Asterisk indicates that a particular response was not given in this poll.

Q38. As you may know, the state is experiencing a record budget shortfall. In order to close the state's shortfall, which of the following statements would come closest to your view: "Taxes should be raised with no reduction in state spending," or "Taxes should not be raised and state spending should be cut," or "A combination of both spending reductions and tax increases is needed to help reduce the state's budget shortfall"?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Taxes raised/no cut in state spending	12	14	14	15	12	18	10	8	14	11
Taxes not raised/ cut in state spending	38	37	28	27	54	24	29	57	51	34
Combination	44	44	52	50	30	52	56	28	42	46
Don't know	6	5	6	8	4	6	5	7	3	9

Q39. Regardless of whether you think state taxes should be increased or not, do you believe Governor Schwarzenegger and the state Legislature will increase taxes this year, or do you think they will not increase taxes?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Will increase	61	60	63	64	51	63	63	57	58	63
Will not increase	30	31	29	28	35	30	29	32	35	26
Don't know	9	9	8	8	14	7	8	11	7	11

Q40. Suppose Governor Schwarzenegger were to propose a tax increase in California. Which of the following statements comes closer to your view: "By raising taxes, Governor Schwarzenegger is being realistic about the economic situation in California" or "By raising taxes, Governor Schwarzenegger is caving in to political pressure from special interests"?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Realistic	60	65	66	64	63	70	64	50	62	58
Caving in	33	30	30	32	30	26	31	39	31	34
Don't know	7	5	4	4	7	4	5	11	7	8

Q41. As you may know, Governor Schwarzenegger has discussed the possibility of raising taxes because of the state's financial problems. If Governor Schwarzenegger raised taxes in California, would that make you view him more favorably, or less favorably, or would that not make you view him any differently? (MORE/LESS) Would that make you view him much (more/less) favorably or only somewhat (more/less) favorably?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More favorable (Net)	15	16	19	16	12	24	13	10	20	10
Much more favorable	5	5	6	3	5	7	6	2	8	2
Somewhat more favorable	10	11	13	13	6	17	7	8	13	8
Less favorable (Net)	24	23	20	25	26	16	25	33	27	22
Somewhat less favorable	13	12	9	14	16	8	12	18	14	11
Much less favorable	12	11	11	11	10	8	13	15	13	11
No difference	56	56	57	58	56	58	57	52	50	62
Don't know	5	5	4	1	6	2	5	5	3	6

Q42. A small increase in the sales tax: Would you support or oppose that? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Would you (support/oppose) that strongly, or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Support (Net)	<u>47</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>49</u>
Strongly support	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>20</u>
Somewhat support	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>29</u>
Oppose (Net)	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>48</u>
Somewhat oppose	<u>16</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>12</u>
Strongly oppose	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>36</u>
Don't know	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	-	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

Q43. Raising the state income tax rates for the highest income residents: Would you support or oppose that? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Would you (support/oppose) that strongly, or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Support (Net)	<u>69</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>71</u>
Strongly support	<u>46</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>47</u>
Somewhat support	<u>23</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>24</u>
Oppose (Net)	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>24</u>
Somewhat oppose	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>
Strongly oppose	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>
Don't know	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>

Q44. Increasing the tax on a pack of cigarettes: Would you support or oppose that? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Would you (support/oppose) that strongly, or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Support (Net)	<u>78</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>77</u>
Strongly support	<u>63</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>65</u>
Somewhat support	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>13</u>
Oppose (Net)	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>21</u>
Somewhat oppose	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>
Strongly oppose	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	-	<u>3</u>	-	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

Q45. Increasing the tax on alcoholic beverages: Would you support or oppose that? (IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE) Would you (support/oppose) that strongly, or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Support (Net)	<u>79</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>84</u>
Strongly support	<u>54</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>58</u>
Somewhat support	<u>25</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>
Oppose (Net)	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>13</u>
Somewhat oppose	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>
Strongly oppose	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>7</u>
Don't know	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>

Q46.What is your personal view on gun control laws? Generally speaking, do you think they ought to be more strict than they are now, or less strict, or do you think the laws we have now are about right? (IF MORE OR LESS) Do you think the laws should be much (more/less) strict or only somewhat (more/less) strict?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
More strict (Net)	<u>57</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>64</u>
Much more strict	<u>44</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>52</u>
Somewhat more strict	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>
Less strict (Net)	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>
Somewhat less strict	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
Much less strict	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>
About right	<u>32</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>27</u>
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>

**Trend for Q46**  
(All CA adults)

Study: 474 Q43  
Date: 9/02

	9/02
More strict (Net)	<u>51</u>
Much more	<u>38</u>
Somewhat more	<u>13</u>
Less strict (Net)	<u>13</u>
Somewhat less	<u>6</u>
Much less	<u>7</u>
About right now	<u>33</u>
Don't know	<u>3</u>

**For comparison: the wording of this question is different in earlier poll/s.**

**Wording in earlier poll/s:**

“What is your personal view on gun control laws in California? Generally speaking, do you think they ought to be more strict than they are now, or less strict, or do you think the laws we now have in California are about right?”

(RVs)

	<u>2/02b</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>6/99</u>	<u>3/94b</u>	<u>10/89a</u>
	RV	RV	RV	RV	RV
More strict	<u>51</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>67</u>
Less strict	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>
About right now	<u>33</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>

(All CA adults)

	<u>6/99</u>	<u>3/94b</u>	<u>10/89a</u>
More strict	<u>61</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>67</u>
Less strict	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>
About right now	<u>29</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>25</u>
Don't know	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

Q47. How do you feel about drilling for oil off the coast of California? In general, do you approve or disapprove of this? Is that (approve/disapprove) strongly or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>38</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>31</u>
Approve strongly	<u>17</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>11</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>19</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>50</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>51</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>37</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>38</u>
Don't know	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>18</u>

**For comparison: the wording of this question is different in earlier poll/s.**

**Wording in earlier poll/s:**

How do you feel about drilling for oil off the coast of California? In general, do you approve of this activity because it supplies tax dollars and needed jobs for the California economy or do you disapprove of it because it spoils the scenic beauty of California and might destroy the environment? Is that (approve/ disapprove) strongly or only somewhat?

(All CA adults)

	<u>215/Q42</u>
	<u>03/01/90</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>32</u>
Approve strongly	<u>14</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>18</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>60</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>17</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>43</u>
Don't know	<u>8</u>

Q48. Which of the following statements comes closest to your view? "Same-sex couples should be allowed to legally marry", or "Same-sex couples should be allowed to legally form civil unions, but not marry", or "Same-sex couples should not be allowed to either marry or form civil unions".

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Legally marry	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>
Form civil union	<u>40</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>39</u>
Neither	<u>25</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>
Don't know	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>

*-Continued on the next page.*

-Continued from the previous page.

**For comparison: the wording of this question is different in earlier poll/s.**

**Wording in earlier poll/s:**

Regardless of what you think about the actions of San Francisco’s mayor, in general, which of the following statements comes closer to your view? "Same-sex couples should be allowed to legally marry", or "Same-sex couples should be allowed to legally form civil unions, but not marry", or "Same-sex couples should not be allowed to either marry or form civil unions".

(All CA adults)

	<u>02/04</u>
Legally marry	<b>32</b>
Form civil union	<b>38</b>
Neither	<b>25</b>
Don't know	<b>5</b>

Q49. Do you favor or oppose an amendment to the U.S. Constitution that legally defines marriage as a union between a man and a woman only, and would prevent states from legally recognizing same-sex marriages? (IF FAVOR OR OPPOSE) Do you (favor/oppose) that strongly or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Favor (Net)	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>45</b>
Strongly favor	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>
Somewhat favor	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
Oppose (Net)	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>46</b>
Oppose somewhat	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>
Oppose strongly	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>37</b>
Don't know	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>

**Trend for Q49**

(All CA adults)

	498/Q54
	<u>2/04</u>
Favor (Net)	<b>47</b>
Strongly favor	<b>38</b>
Somewhat favor	<b>9</b>
Oppose (Net)	<b>46</b>
Oppose somewhat	<b>10</b>
Oppose strongly	<b>36</b>
Don't know	<b>7</b>

Q50. Do you personally believe that same-sex relationships between consenting adults are morally wrong or is that not a moral issue?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Morally wrong	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>
Not moral issue	<b>53</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>53</b>
Don't know	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>

Q51. Which comes closest to your view on abortion: Abortion should always be legal, or should be legal most of the time, or should be made illegal except in cases of rape, incest and to save the mother's life, or abortion should be made illegal without any exceptions?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Legal (Net)	<u>55</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>57</u>
Always	<u>31</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>33</u>
Most of the time	<u>24</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>
Illegal (Net)	<u>42</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>40</u>
With exception	<u>35</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>33</u>
Without exception	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>
Don't know	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>

***Trend for Q51***  
(All CA adults)

	474/Q39 <u>09/02</u>	466/Q49 <u>02/02</u>	464/Q58 <u>01/02</u>	437/Q38 <u>02/28/00</u>
Legal (Net)	<u>60</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>53</u>
Always	<u>42</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>36</u>
Most of the time	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>17</u>
Illegal (Net)	<u>34</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>43</u>
With exception	<u>28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>33</u>
Without exception	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>

Q52. What is your impression of Indian tribes that own gambling casinos in California? As of today, is it very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, very unfavorable -- or haven't you heard enough about that to say?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>
Very favorable	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>23</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>32</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>26</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>24</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>12</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>
Not aware	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>

-Continued on the next page.

-Continued from the previous page.

**Trend for Q52**  
**(Among RVs)**

**Study: 488 Q44**  
**Date: 09/03**

	<u>09/03</u>
Favorable (Net)	<u>52</u>
Very favorable	<u>21</u>
Somewhat favorable	<u>31</u>
Unfavorable (Net)	<u>31</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	<u>18</u>
Very unfavorable	<u>14</u>
Haven't heard enough	<u>11</u>
Don't know	<u>6</u>

Q53. As you may know, four years ago California voters approved Nevada-style gambling, which includes slot machines, on Indian lands. As of today, do you approve or disapprove of gambling casinos on Indian lands? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you strongly (approve/disapprove) or only somewhat (approve/disapprove)?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>67</u>
Approve strongly	<u>31</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>30</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>36</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>36</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>24</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>
Don't know	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>

Q54. As you may know, there is a petition circulating in the state to put an initiative on the November ballot to expand Nevada-style gambling, which includes slot machines, beyond Indian casinos to card clubs and racetracks. Would you approve or disapprove of putting slot machines into card clubs and racetracks? (IF APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE) Do you strongly (approve/disapprove) or only somewhat (approve/disapprove)?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Approve (Net)	<u>35</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>
Approve strongly	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>12</u>
Approve somewhat	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>19</u>
Disapprove (Net)	<u>56</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>58</u>
Disapprove somewhat	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>
Disapprove strongly	<u>44</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>47</u>
Don't know	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>11</u>

Q55. As you may also know, Indian tribes who have casinos have a contract with the state. Under that agreement, they pay the state 130 million dollars a year to offset traffic and other effects of the casinos on local communities, and to aid tribes that don't operate casinos. Governor Schwarzenegger wants to renegotiate the contracts to get far larger payments for the state. Some tribes are willing to pay more only if the state lets them operate more slot machines. Would you favor or oppose the governor renegotiating the contracts in return for more slot machines in those casinos? (IF FAVOR/OPPOSE) Do you (favor/oppose) that strongly or only somewhat?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Favor (Net)	<b>63</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>58</b>
Favor strongly	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>
Favor somewhat	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>
Oppose (Net)	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>
Oppose somewhat	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>
Oppose strongly	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>21</b>
Don't know	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>

Q56. In the past year, have you or anyone in your immediate family been to an Indian casino?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Yes	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>
No	<b>60</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>58</b>
Don't know	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>

**Trend for Q56**

**Study: 488 Q45**  
**Date: 09/03**

(All CA adults)

	<u>09/03</u>
Yes	<b>41</b>
No	<b>58</b>
Don't know	<b>1</b>

Q.57. How often would you say you gamble: Often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

	<u>All</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Lib</u>	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Wom</u>
Often or sometimes (Net)	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>20</u>
Often	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
Sometimes	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>17</u>
Rarely or never (Net)	<u>82</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>80</u>
Rarely	<u>53</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>50</u>
Never	<u>29</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>30</u>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-

**For comparison: the wording of this question is different in earlier poll/s.  
Wording in earlier poll/s:**

Would you say you gamble often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

**(All CA adults)**

Study/Question No.	416/Q81
Date	<u>09/17/98</u>
Often/Sometimes (Net)	<u>26</u>
Often	<u>6</u>
Sometimes	<u>20</u>
Rarely/Never (Net)	<u>73</u>
Rarely	<u>41</u>
Never	<u>33</u>
Don't know	<u>1</u>

## How the Poll Was Conducted

This Los Angeles Times poll is the 502nd in a series of opinion studies designed to measure public attitudes on a number of issues. This survey focuses on the presidential race, state politics, gay marriage and Indian gambling casinos.

The sampling frame for this survey is all telephone residences in the state of California. One thousand five hundred and seventy one men and women 18 years of age or older were contacted by telephone April 17-21, 2004. The sample includes 1,265 registered voters.

Results are adjusted to conform with census figures on characteristics such as sex, race, age, education and party registration figures from the Secretary of State's office. Telephone numbers were generated from a computer list that includes all possible telephone exchanges in the state. Random-digit dialing techniques were used to ensure that both listed and unlisted residences had an opportunity to be contacted. Interviews were conducted in both English and Spanish.

Readers are reminded that, since survey research normally questions only a small proportion of the population, one must allow a certain margin for error. The margin of sampling error for the entire sample of adults, including registered voters, is plus or minus 3 percentage points. For certain other subgroups, the error margin is somewhat higher.

Surveys of this kind are sometimes subject to different kinds of inaccuracies for which precise estimates cannot be calculated and which may, in some cases, be even larger than the effects associated with sampling procedures. For example, findings may be influenced by events, which take place while the survey is in the field and, of course, events occurring since the time the interviews were conducted could have changed the opinions reported here.

Undetected flaws in the way the sampling and interviewing procedure were carried out could have a significant effect on the findings. Changing the wording of questions and the sequence in which they are asked can produce different results. Sometimes questions are inadvertently biased or misleading. People who responded to the survey may not necessarily replicate the views of those who refused to be interviewed or who could not be found at home during the time the survey was conducted. Moreover, while every precaution has been taken to make these findings completely accurate, other errors may have resulted from the various practical difficulties associated with taking any survey of public opinion.

Susan Pinkus directs the Los Angeles Times Poll under the general supervision of Dean Baquet, managing editor. Jill Darling Richardson is the associate director, Roger Richardson is the field director, Claudia Vaughn is the data management supervisor and Farnaz Calafi is the publications coordinator.

Further information regarding this study is available by writing to the Los Angeles Times Poll, 202 West 1st Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, or by calling (213) 237-2027 or by emailing [timespoll@latimes.com](mailto:timespoll@latimes.com). In addition, poll data can now be found on the World Wide Web. Visit us at <http://www.latimes.com/timespoll>.

This report conforms to the standards of disclosure of the National Council on Public Polls and the American Association for Public Opinion Research.